

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT			
WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TTI60-11006VP NOZZLES			
Trial ID:	PE-12-21	Study Dir.:	TYSON/SIKES/VIRK
Location:	BULLOCH COUNTY	Investigator:	Eric P. Prostko

Reps: 4 Plots: 90 by 1000 feet
 Appl. Amount: 15 GAL/AC Mix Size: 1.5 L (total for 4 plots; minimum=469.3 L)

Trt No.	Treatment Name	Amt Product to Measure	Diluent	Rep			
				1	2	3	4
1	10 GPA		-	101	203	302	403
2	15 GPA		-	102	201	303	401
3	20 GPA		-	103	202	301	402

Sort Order: Treatment

Trial Comments	
<p>SPRAYER: CASE PATRIOT 3240 90' BOOM LENGTH 20" NOZZLE SPACING 53 NOZZLES 50 PSI 8 MPH</p> <p>MARCH 8 SPRAY CARD ANALYSIS USING SNAPCARD OR DROPLET SCAN (5 CARDS/REP, 3 REPS, 15 TOTAL CARDS/TRT)</p> <p>VARIETY: GA-16HO PLANTING DATE: 05/23/21 CROP ROTATION:SOYBEAN(2018)-CORN (2019)-CORN (2020)-WHEAT/ONION (FALL/SPRING 2020/21)-PEANUT (2021)</p> <p><u>PESTICIDE APPLICATIONS</u> 06/30/21: CLETHODIM 07/06/21: DUAL MAGNUM + GRAMOXONE + STORM + 2,4-DB 07/23/21: ELATUS @ 9.5 OZ/A + 2,4-DB @ 12 OZ/A 08/09/21: LUCENTO @ 5.5 OZ/A + DIMILIN @ 3.5 OZ/A + PEG POWER @ 16 OZ/A + TOPSIDE @ 16 OZ/A + 2,4-DB @ 5.3 OZ/A 08/27/21: ELATUS @ 9.5 OZ/A 09/13/21: CONVOY @ 16 OZ/A + APPROACH PRIMA @ 6.4 OZ/A 09/28/21: BRAVO + TEBUSTAR + SULFUR</p> <p>DIGGING DATE: 10/18/21 (148 DAP) HARVEST DATE: 10/22/21 HARVEST MOISTURE: 11.3 YIELDS ADJUSTED TO 10%. 2% DEDUCTION FOR FM.</p> <p>HARVESTED AREA: 6 ROWS (18') x FIELD LENGTH (1071'-1200') = 0.443-0.496 ACRES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u></p> <p>1) SNAPCARD ANALYSIS INDICATED NO DIFFERENCES IN SPRAY CARD COVERAGE (P=0.2361).</p> <p>2) DROPLET SCAN ANALYSIS INDICATED THAT THERE WERE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES (P=0.0001) IN SPRAY CARD COVERAGE AS FOLLOWS: 20 GPA > 15 GPA > 10 GPA</p> <p>3) ON SEPTEMBER 9, NO VISUAL DIFFERENCES IN WEED CONTROL BETWEEN THE DIFFERENT GPA'S WERE OBSERVED SO NO PHYSICAL DATA WAS COLLECTED.</p> <p>4) ON SEPTEMBER 9, NO DIFFERENCES IN PEANUT LEAF SPOT WERE OBSERVED (P=1.0).</p> <p>5) ON OCTOBER 18, GPA HAD NO EFFECT ON LEAF SPOT OR WHITE MOLD (P>0.42).</p> <p>6) PEANUT YIELDS WERE NOT INFLUENCED BY GPA (P= 0.4140).</p>	

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT			
WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TT160-11006VP NOZZLES			
Trial ID:	PE-12-21	Study Dir.:	TYSON/SIKES/VIRK
Location:	BULLOCH COUNTY	Investigator:	Eric P. Prostko

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT			
WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TT160-11006VP NOZZLES			
Trial ID:	PE-12-21	Study Dir.:	TYSON/SIKES/VIRK
Location:	BULLOCH COUNTY	Investigator:	Eric P. Prostko

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
Crop 1 Stage:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Stage Scale:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Height, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
Weed 1 Stage:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Stage Scale:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Density, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
Appl. Equipment:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Operating Pressure:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Nozzle Type:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Nozzle Size:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Nozzles/Row:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Band Width, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Boom Length, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Boom Height, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Ground Speed, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Incorporation Equip.:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Hours to Incorp.:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Incorp. Depth, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Carrier:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Spray Volume, Unit:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Spray pH:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Propellant:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Tank Mix (Y/N):	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Trt No	Treatment Application Comment
_____	_____

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TTI60-11006VP NOZZLES										
Trial ID:		PE-12-21		Study Dir.:		TYSON/SIKES/VIRK				
Location:		BULLOCH COUNTY		Investigator:		Eric P. Prostko				
Weed Code	snapcard	snapcard	snapcard	snapcard	snapcard	snapcard	DROPLET	DROPLET	DROPLET	DROPLET
Crop Code							SCAN	SCAN	SCAN	SCAN
Part Rated						AVG, -				
Rating Data Type	COVER-1	COVER-2	COVER-3	COVER-4	COVER-5	COVER	COVER-1	COVER-2	COVER-3	COVER-4
Rating Unit	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Rating Date	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21
Trt Treatment No. Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 10 GPA	19.3 a	20.1 a	19.9 a	21.7 a	18.7 ab	20.0 a	6.117 b	5.143 a	4.390 c	4.950 a
2 15 GPA	16.3 a	18.1 a	17.0 a	16.2 a	19.3 a	17.4 a	5.033 b	8.313 a	5.990 b	7.083 a
3 20 GPA	18.2 a	16.3 a	16.7 a	17.9 a	17.5 b	17.3 a	10.387 a	5.663 a	11.060 a	10.080 a
LSD P=.10	5.03	3.24	6.82	8.52	1.27	3.10	2.7480	3.9225	1.1243	4.1802
Standard Deviation	2.89	1.86	3.92	4.90	0.73	1.78	1.5787	2.2535	0.6459	2.4015
CV	16.11	10.22	21.92	26.31	3.93	9.77	21.99	35.36	9.04	32.58
Grand Mean	17.93	18.19	17.87	18.61	18.53	18.23	7.1789	6.3733	7.1467	7.3711
Bartlett's X2^	0.654	0.179	2.181	2.664	1.96	2.979	1.133	0.693	1.291	0.029
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.721	0.914	0.336	0.264	0.375	0.225	0.568	0.707	0.524	0.986

Means followed by same letter or symbol do not significantly differ (P=.10, LSD).
 Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.
 Missing data estimates are included in columns: Average=16,17,18
 Could not calculate LSD (% mean diff) for columns 13 because error mean square = 0.
 ^Calculated from residual.

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT
 WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TTI60-11006VP NOZZLES
 Trial ID: PE-12-21 Study Dir.: TYSON/SIKES/VIRK
 Location: BULLOCH COUNTY Investigator: Eric P. Prostko

Weed Code Crop Code Part Rated	DROPLET SCAN	DROPLET SCAN AVG, -	----- ARAHY LEAF, -	----- ARAHY LEAF, -	----- ARAHY WHITE, -	----- ARAHY DIRTY, -	----- ARAHY CLEAN, -	----- ARAHY 10%MST, -
Rating Data Type	COVER-5	COVER	SPOT	SPOT	MOLD	YIELD	YIELD	YIELD
Rating Unit	%	%	1-10	1-10	#/200 FT	LBS/A	LBS/A	LBS/A
Rating Date	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Sep-9-21	Oct-18-21	Oct-18-21	Oct-22-21	Oct-22-21	Oct-22-21
Trt Treatment No. Name	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1 10 GPA	5.097 a	5.1 c	1.0 a	1.88 a	0.0 a	5490.5 a	5381 a	5363 a
2 15 GPA	6.420 a	6.6 b	1.0 a	2.00 a	0.3 a	5609.0 a	5497 a	5478 a
3 20 GPA	4.920 a	8.4 a	1.0 a	2.00 a	0.0 a	5510.8 a	5401 a	5383 a
LSD P=.10	3.6281	0.30	.	0.198	0.40	175.65	172.1	171.6
Standard Deviation	2.0844	0.17	0.00	0.144	0.29	123.28	120.8	120.4
CV	38.04	2.6	0.0	7.37	346.41	2.23	2.23	2.23
Grand Mean	5.4789	6.71	1.00	1.958	0.08	5536.75	5426.0	5407.9
Bartlett's X2^	0.437	0.247	.	1.811	1.811	1.568	1.568	1.568
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.804	0.884	.	0.404	0.404	0.457	0.457	0.457

Means followed by same letter or symbol do not significantly differ (P=.10, LSD).
 Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.
 Missing data estimates are included in columns: Average=16,17,18
 Could not calculate LSD (% mean diff) for columns 13 because error mean square = 0.
 ^Calculated from residual.

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT						
WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TTI60-11006VP NOZZLES						
Trial ID:	PE-12-21	Study Dir.:	TYSON/SIKES/VIRK			
Location:	BULLOCH COUNTY	Investigator:	Eric P. Prostko			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For snapcard COVER-1 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 1)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	79.880000				
Replicate	2	33.046667	16.523333	1.980	0.2526	
Treatment	2	13.446667	6.723333	0.806	0.5082	
Error	4	33.386667	8.346667			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For snapcard COVER-2 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 2)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	41.888889				
Replicate	2	6.362222	3.181111	0.920	0.4691	
Treatment	2	21.695556	10.847778	3.137	0.1516	
Error	4	13.831111	3.457778			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For snapcard COVER-3 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 3)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	91.880000				
Replicate	2	12.380000	6.190000	0.404	0.6923	
Treatment	2	18.166667	9.083333	0.592	0.5952	
Error	4	61.333333	15.333333			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For snapcard COVER-4 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 4)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	164.968889				
Replicate	2	20.882222	10.441111	0.436	0.6743	
Treatment	2	48.202222	24.101111	1.005	0.4428	
Error	4	95.884444	23.971111			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For snapcard COVER-5 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 5)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	9.820000				
Replicate	2	2.660000	1.330000	2.509	0.1967	
Treatment	2	5.040000	2.520000	4.755	0.0877	
Error	4	2.120000	0.530000			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For snapcard AVG COVER % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 6)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	37.350400				
Replicate	2	11.239200	5.619600	1.772	0.2812	
Treatment	2	13.424267	6.712133	2.116	0.2361	
Error	4	12.686933	3.171733			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For DROPLET SCAN COVER-1 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 7)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	63.226889				
Replicate	2	5.192622	2.596311	1.042	0.4323	
Treatment	2	48.064689	24.032344	9.642	0.0295	
Error	4	9.969578	2.492394			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For DROPLET SCAN COVER-2 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 8)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	55.334200				
Replicate	2	17.679800	8.839900	1.741	0.2858	
Treatment	2	17.341800	8.670900	1.707	0.2910	
Error	4	20.312600	5.078150			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For DROPLET SCAN COVER-3 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 9)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	75.312800				
Replicate	2	0.890067	0.445033	1.067	0.4253	
Treatment	2	72.753800	36.376900	87.186	0.0005	
Error	4	1.668933	0.417233			
Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For DROPLET SCAN COVER-4 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 10)						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)	
Total	8	64.404889				
Replicate	2	1.487489	0.743744	0.129	0.8825	
Treatment	2	39.848022	19.924011	3.455	0.1344	
Error	4	23.069378	5.767344			

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT			
WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TTI60-11006VP NOZZLES			
Trial ID:	PE-12-21	Study Dir.:	TYSON/SIKES/VIRK
Location:	BULLOCH COUNTY	Investigator:	Eric P. Prostko

Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For DROPLET SCAN COVER-5 % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 11)					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)
Total	8	29.314689			
Replicate	2	7.904089	3.952044	0.910	0.4725
Treatment	2	4.032422	2.016211	0.464	0.6588
Error	4	17.378178	4.344544		

Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For DROPLET SCAN AVG COVER % Mar-8-21 (Data Column 12)					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)
Total	8	16.802884			
Replicate	2	0.426689	0.213344	7.001	0.0494
Treatment	2	16.254305	8.127152	266.705	0.0001
Error	4	0.121890	0.030472		

Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For ----- ARAHY LEAF SPOT 1-10 Sep-9-21 (Data Column 13)					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)
Total	11	0.000000000000			
Replicate	3	0.000000000000	0.000000000000	0.000	1.0000
Treatment	2	0.000000000000	0.000000000000	0.000	1.0000
Error	6	0.000000000000	0.000000000000		

Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For ----- ARAHY LEAF SPOT 1-10 Oct-18-21 (Data Column 14)					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)
Total	11	0.229167			
Replicate	3	0.062500	0.020833	1.000	0.4547
Treatment	2	0.041667	0.020833	1.000	0.4219
Error	6	0.125000	0.020833		

Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For ---- ARAHY WHITE MOLD #/200 FT Oct-18-21 (Data Column 15)					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)
Total	11	0.916667			
Replicate	3	0.250000	0.083333	1.000	0.4547
Treatment	2	0.166667	0.083333	1.000	0.4219
Error	6	0.500000	0.083333		

Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For ----- ARAHY DIRTY YIELD LBS/A Oct-22-21 (Data Column 16)					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)
Total	10	269424.250000			
Replicate	3	161296.250000	53765.416667	3.538	0.1037
Treatment	2	32140.500000	16070.250000	1.057	0.4140
Error	5	75987.500000	15197.500000		

Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For ----- ARAHY CLEAN YIELD LBS/A Oct-22-21 (Data Column 17)					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)
Total	10	258755.049700			
Replicate	3	154908.918500	51636.306167	3.538	0.1037
Treatment	2	30867.736200	15433.868100	1.057	0.4140
Error	5	72978.395000	14595.679000		

Randomized Complete Block (RCB) AOV For ----- ARAHY 10%MST YIELD LBS/A Oct-22-21 (Data Column 18)					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob(F)
Total	10	257032.891091			
Replicate	3	153877.913587	51292.637862	3.538	0.1037
Treatment	2	30662.294267	15331.147133	1.057	0.4140
Error	5	72492.683238	14498.536648		

Part Rated					
LEAF = LEAF / FOLIAGE					
PRM Data Type					
T1 = ([C1]+[C2]+[C3]+[C4]+[C5])/5					
T2 = ([7]+[8]+[9]+[10]+[11])/5					
T3 = [16]*0.98					
T4 = ([17]*0.897)/0.9					

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT
 WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TTI60-11006VP NOZZLES
 Trial ID: PE-12-21 Study Dir.: TYSON/SIKES/VIRK
 Location: BULLOCH COUNTY Investigator: Eric P. Prostko

Weed Code	Crop Code	Part Rated	Rating Data Type	Rating Unit	Rating Date	snapcard	snapcard	snapcard	snapcard	snapcard	snapcard	DROPLET SCAN	DROPLET SCAN	DROPLET SCAN	
			COVER-1	%	Mar-8-21	COVER-2	COVER-3	COVER-4	COVER-5	AVG, - COVER	COVER-1	COVER-2	COVER-3		
						%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
						Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	
Trt	Treatment	No.	Name	Plot	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
1	10 GPA	101			21.3	19.9	24.2	20.7	19.2	21.1	6.140	4.610	3.560		
		203			16.5	18.3	13.3	15.1	18.1	16.3	6.550	5.340	4.960		
		302			20.1	22.2	22.1	29.4	18.9	22.5	5.660	5.480	4.650		
		403			
		Mean =			19.3	20.1	19.9	21.7	18.7	20.0	6.117	5.143	4.390		
2	15 GPA	102			16.0	17.5	16.0	15.5	19.1	16.8	1.970	10.770	5.320		
		201			15.8	18.5	19.1	15.9	19.3	17.7	6.630	6.980	6.480		
		303			17.2	18.3	16.0	17.2	19.6	17.7	6.500	7.190	6.170		
		401			
		Mean =			16.3	18.1	17.0	16.2	19.3	17.4	5.033	8.313	5.990		
3	20 GPA	103			15.2	19.0	16.6	18.8	18.3	17.6	10.460	8.610	11.550		
		202			15.2	14.2	16.3	19.7	15.9	16.3	10.930	1.410	11.260		
		301			24.1	15.8	17.2	15.2	18.4	18.1	9.770	6.970	10.370		
		402			
		Mean =			18.2	16.3	16.7	17.9	17.5	17.3	10.387	5.663	11.060		

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT
 WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TTI60-11006VP NOZZLES
 Trial ID: PE-12-21 Study Dir.: TYSON/SIKES/VIRK
 Location: BULLOCH COUNTY Investigator: Eric P. Prostko

Weed Code	DROPLET SCAN	DROPLET SCAN	DROPLET SCAN	----- ARAHY LEAF, -	----- ARAHY LEAF, -	----- ARAHY WHITE, -	----- ARAHY DIRTY, -	----- ARAHY CLEAN, -	----- ARAHY 10%MST, -
Crop Code	COVER-4	COVER-5	COVER	AVG, - SPOT	AVG, - SPOT	MOLD #/200 FT	YIELD LBS/A	YIELD LBS/A	YIELD LBS/A
Part Rated	%	%	%	1-10	1-10	#/200 FT	LBS/A	LBS/A	LBS/A
Rating Data Type	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Mar-8-21	Sep-9-21	Oct-18-21	Oct-18-21	Oct-22-21	Oct-22-21	Oct-22-21
Rating Unit									
Rating Date									
Trt Treatment									
No. Name Plot	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1 10 GPA 101	7.410	5.240	5.4	1.0	1.50	0.0	5726.0	5611	5593
203	4.690	4.990	5.3	1.0	2.00	0.0	5463.0	5354	5336
302	2.750	5.060	4.7	1.0	2.00	0.0	5459.0	5350	5332
403	.	.	.	1.0	2.00	0.0	5314.0	5208	5190
Mean =	4.950	5.097	5.1	1.0	1.88	0.0	5490.5	5381	5363
2 15 GPA 102	6.380	8.530	6.6	1.0	2.00	0.0	5737.0	5622	5604
201	6.010	7.140	6.6	1.0	2.00	1.0	5596.0	5484	5466
303	8.860	3.590	6.5	1.0	2.00	0.0	5494.0	5384	5366
401	.	.	.	1.0	2.00	0.0	.	.	.
Mean =	7.083	6.420	6.6	1.0	2.00	0.3	5609.0	5497	5478
3 20 GPA 103	8.940	2.840	8.5	1.0	2.00	0.0	5645.0	5532	5514
202	12.500	7.660	8.8	1.0	2.00	0.0	5623.0	5511	5492
301	8.800	4.260	8.0	1.0	2.00	0.0	5558.0	5447	5429
402	.	.	.	1.0	2.00	0.0	5217.0	5113	5096
Mean =	10.080	4.920	8.4	1.0	2.00	0.0	5510.8	5401	5383

University of Georgia

GPA EFFECTS ON PEANUT PEST MANAGEMENT

WITH A COMMERCIAL SPRAYER (PWM) - TTI60-11006VP NOZZLES

Trial ID: PE-12-21 Study Dir.: TYSON/SIKES/VIRK
Location: BULLOCH COUNTY Investigator: Eric P. Prostko

Part Rated

LEAF = LEAF / FOLIAGE

PRM Data Type

$$T1 = ([C1]+[C2]+[C3]+[C4]+[C5])/5$$

$$T2 = ([7]+[8]+[9]+[10]+[11])/5$$

$$T3 = [16]*0.98$$

$$T4 = ([17]*0.897)/0.9$$