First crop drip injection of DMDS, Vapam, and Eptam

Trial ID: Veg37-07 Protocol ID:

Location: Ponder Farm Study Director: Stanley Culpepper Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Reps: 3 Plots: 6 by 30 feet

Spray vol: 14.8 gal/ac Mix size: 2 liters (min .69451)

	,			- \		,					
Trt	Treatment	Form Forn	n Form		Rate	Growth	Appl	Amt Product	Plot N	lo. By	Rep
No.	. Name	Conc Unit	Type	Rate	Unit	Stage	Code	to Measure	1	2	3
1	None						Α	•	103	205	304
2	Eptam 4 pt/A						Α		101	203	305
3	Vapam 55 GPA						Α		105	202	301
4	DMDS EC @ 53 GPA						Α		102	204	303
5	DMDS EC @ 70 GPA				•		Α		104	201	302

Sort Order: Treatment

Product quantities required for listed treatments and applications in one trial:

Amount* Unit Treatment Name Form Conc Form Type Lot Code

- * 'Per area' calculations based on spray volume= 14.8 gal/ac, mix size= 2 liters (mix size basis).
- * Product amount calculations increased 25 % for overage adjustment.

Trial Comments

OBJECTIVE: Determine potential for DMDS and Eptam to be effective when drip injected on 1st crop mulch.

Eggplant Injury:

- 1. Injury was detected at 9 d after planting with Vapam.
- 2. By 45 d after transplanting, Eptam caused 15% stunting while Vapam caused severe injury (60%).
- 3. No injury from DMDS was noted throughout the season.

Eggplant heights:

- 1. Eggplant heights averaged over 10 plants per plot noted 47% stunting from Vapam.
- 2. No other treatment reduced plant heights although there was a trend for Eptam to reduce plant heights slightly.

Nutsedge control:

- 1. Vapam provided excellent control in a 26 inch band; however, control of the shoulders did not exist. Control was similar throughout the season.
- 2. Eptam provided excellent control in a 12 inch band with poor control on the edges of the bed.
- 3. Initially, the DMDS treatments provided excellent control for 14 days but then control began falling off and was non-existent by late season.

Yields:

- 1. Eggplant were harvested 8 times.
- 2. When adding harvests 1 and 2, 1 through 4, or 1 through 8, the two DMDS treatments produced the greatest yield.
- 3. Lowest yields were produced in the Vapam plots because of significant injury.
- 4. Eggplant treated with Eptam likely yielded less than the DMDS systems because of minor early season stunting and lack of early season weed control.

CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. DMDS moved throughout the bed profile with one drip tape causing no crop injury but nutsedge control only lasted two weeks.
- 2. Eptam could not be moved throughout the bed profile.
- 3. Vapam could not be moved throughout the entire bed profile with a single drip tape and caused severe crop injury which was likely a response to application under 1st crop VIF mulch (ie no holes) at a very high rate.
- 4. Research continues to show that first crop fumigating is completely dependent on shank injection of fumigants.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- 1. All treatments were drip injected in cooperation with Hendrix and Dale.
- 2. Bedtops were 32 inches across with only 1 drip take laid down the center.
- 3. Injected DMDS treatments over 1 hour. Irrigated 1 hr on days 2, 4, and 5 following injection.
- 4. Vapam and Eptam were mixed in 3 gallons of water and injected over 10 minutes followed by watering for 1 hour. Beds wer also watered for 1 hr on days 2, 4, and 5.

First crop drip injection of DMDS, Vapam, and Eptam

Trial ID: Veg37-07 Protocol ID:

Location: Ponder Farm Study Director: Stanley Culpepper Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Pest Code				CYPRO	CYPRO	CYPRO	CYPRO	CYPRO
Crop Code	SOLME	SOLME	SOLME					
BBCH Scale	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO					
Rating Date	Aug-24-07	Sep-07-07	Oct-01-07	Aug-05-07	Aug-10-07	Aug-24-07	Sep-07-07	Oct-01-07
Rating Data Type	injury	injury	injury	control	control			control
Rating Unit	%	%	%	%	%	%		
Assessed By	SC	SC	SC	SC			SC	SC
Days After First/Last Applic.	37	51	75	18	23	37	51	75
ARM Action Codes								
Trt Treatment Rate								
No. Name Rate Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 None	0 b	0 a	0 с	0 c	0 d	17 c	0 c	0 b
2 Eptam 4 pt/A	0 b	17 a	15 b	32 b	43 c	13 c	27 bc	15 b
3 Vapam 55 GPA	13 a	40 a	60 a	78 a	73 b	83 a	80 a	73 a
4 DMDS EC @ 53 GPA	0 b	0 a	0 c	87 a	87 a	67 ab	46 b	18 b
5 DMDS EC @ 70 GPA	0 b	0 a	0 с	87 a	78 ab	55 b	47 b	23 b
LSD (P=.05)	9.7	42.8	12.6	10.8	10.4	24.2	30.5	22.8
Standard Deviation	5.2	22.7	6.7	5.7	5.5	12.9	16.2	12.1
CV	193.65	199.47	44.72	10.12	9.79	27.47	40.53	46.51
Bartlett's X2	0.0	0.081	0.0	1.697	0.275	7.67	4.164	1.181
P(Bartlett's X2)		0.776		0.638	0.965	0.104	0.244	0.758

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Mar-11-08 (Veg37-07) AOV Means Table Page 4 of 11

University of Georgia

Pest Code	plant 1	plant 2		plant 4	plant 5	plant 6	plant 7
Crop Code	SOLME						
BBCH Scale	BVSO	BVSO					
Rating Date	Sep-04-07						
Rating Data Type	ht						
Rating Unit	cm						
Assessed By							
Days After First/Last Applic.	48	48	48	48	48	48	48
ARM Action Codes							
Trt Treatment Rate							
No. Name Rate Unit	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1 None	31 a	31 ab	32 a	32 a	29 a	32 a	33 ab
2 Eptam 4 pt/A	29 a	26 ab	29 a	29 ab	30 a	29 a	28 b
3 Vapam 55 GPA	19 b	18 b	15 b	18 b	18 b	14 b	17 c
4 DMDS EC @ 53 GPA	34 a	30 ab	33 a	34 a	31 a	32 a	37 a
5 DMDS EC @ 70 GPA	31 a	32 a	33 a	35 a	35 a	32 a	36 a
LSD (P=.05)	9.9	12.2	13.1	10.9	9.7	12.9	7.3
Standard Deviation	4.9	6.1	6.5	5.5	4.9	6.5	3.6
CV	17.16	22.38	23.04	18.65	17.05	23.2	12.06
Bartlett's X2	2.133	1.087	1.529	5.551	4.098	4.177	4.332
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.711	0.896	0.676	0.235	0.393	0.383	

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Pest Code	plant 8	plant 9	plant 10	AVG10PLA	Harv 1	Harv 1	Harv 2	Harv 2
Crop Code	SOLME							
BBCH Scale	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO					BVSO
Rating Date	Sep-04-07	Sep-04-07	Sep-04-07	Sep-04-07	Sep-27-07	Sep-27-07	Oct-02-07	Oct-02-07
Rating Data Type	ht	ht	ht	ht	#	wt/lb	#	wt/lb
Rating Unit	cm	cm	cm	cm	per plot	per plot	per plot	per plot
Assessed By								
Days After First/Last Applic.	48	48	48	48	71	71	76	76
ARM Action Codes				T1				
Trt Treatment Rate								
No. Name Rate Unit	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1 None	34 a	39 a	35 a	33 a	1 b	1 b	3 b	3 bc
2 Eptam 4 pt/A	29 a	30 b	26 b	28 a	2 ab	1 ab	1 b	1 c
3 Vapam 55 GPA	20 b	17 c	15 c	17 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 с
4 DMDS EC @ 53 GPA	32 a	35 ab	26 b	32 a	4 a	3 a	6 a	8 ab
5 DMDS EC @ 70 GPA	29 a	35 ab	32 ab	33 a	2 ab	2 ab	8 a	11 a
LSD (P=.05)	8.0	7.0	6.1	7.0	2.7	2.5	3.1	5.2
Standard Deviation	4.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	1.3	1.2	1.6	2.6
CV	13.99	11.16	11.35	12.13	77.29	82.7	44.97	57.43
Bartlett's X2	1.231	7.845	1.976	6.972	1.631	2.107	4.561	6.068
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.746	0.097	0.74	0.137	0.652	0.55	0.207	0.108

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Column 19: T1 = @AVG([C9].[C18])

Mar-11-08 (Veg37-07) AOV Means Table Page 6 of 11

University of Georgia

Pest Code	Harv 3	Harv 3	Harv 4	Harv 4	Harv 5	Harv 5	Harv 6	Harv 6
Crop Code	SOLME							
BBCH Scale	BVSO							
Rating Date	Oct-11-07	Oct-11-07	Oct-17-07	Oct-17-07	Oct-25-07	Oct-25-07	Oct-30-07	Oct-30-07
Rating Data Type	#	wt/lb	#	wt/lb	#	wt/lb	#	wt/lb
Rating Unit	per plot							
Assessed By								
Days After First/Last Applic.	85	85	91	91	99	99	104	104
ARM Action Codes								
Trt Treatment Rate								
No. Name Rate Unit	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
1 None	1 c	1 c	8 cd	9 cd	13 ab	14 ab	6 ab	5 ab
2 Eptam 4 pt/A	6 ab	8 ab	13 c	14 c	18 ab	19 ab	7 ab	6 ab
3 Vapam 55 GPA	1 c	1 c	4 d	4 d	9 b	8 b	6 b	5 b
4 DMDS EC @ 53 GPA	5 b	6 b	30 a	30 a	21 a	20 a	12 a	11 a
5 DMDS EC @ 70 GPA	9 a	10 a	21 b	22 b	22 a	22 a	10 ab	10 ab
LSD (P=.05)	2.8	3.8	6.8	5.9	10.5	10.7	5.4	5.6
Standard Deviation	1.4	1.9	3.4	2.9	5.2	5.3	2.7	2.8
CV	31.21	36.71	22.56	18.63	31.9	32.5	33.06	37.53
Bartlett's X2	1.098	3.679	1.78	4.988	5.038	5.303	1.263	2.019
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.778	0.298	0.776	0.289	0.283	0.258	0.868	0.732

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Pest Code	Harv 7	Harv 7	Harv 1-2	Harv 1-2	Harv 1-4	Harv 1-4	Harv 1-8	Harv 1-8
Crop Code	SOLME							
BBCH Scale	BVSO							
Rating Date	Nov-06-07							
Rating Data Type	#	wt/lb	#	wt/lb	#	wt/lb	#	wt/lb
Rating Unit	per plot							
Assessed By								
Days After First/Last Applic.	111	111	111	111	111	111	111	111
ARM Action Codes			T2	T3	T4	T7	T5	T6
Trt Treatment Rate								
No. Name Rate Unit	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
1 None	12 a	12 b	4 b	4 b	38 b	14 bc	44 b	46 bc
2 Eptam 4 pt/A	12 a	12 ab	2 b	2 b	28 bc	24 b	58 b	61 b
3 Vapam 55 GPA	12 a	12 ab	0 b	0 b	4 c	5 c	32 b	30 c
4 DMDS EC @ 53 GPA	22 a	23 a	10 a	11 a	98 a	47 a	99 a	101 a
5 DMDS EC @ 70 GPA	19 a	19 ab	10 a	13 a	112 a	45 a	91 a	95 a
LSD (P=.05)	11.1	10.7	3.4	4.7	32.5	11.2	25.7	29.8
Standard Deviation	5.6	5.3	1.7	2.4	16.3	5.6	12.9	14.9
CV	35.99	34.07	32.65	39.55	29.04	20.66	19.88	22.39
Bartlett's X2	3.033	4.47	2.479	4.429	3.585	10.893	2.635	1.857
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.552	0.346	0.479	0.219	0.465	0.028*	0.621	0.762

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Column 34: T2 = ([C20]+[C22])Column 35: T3 = ([C21]+[C23])

Column 36: T4 = ([C20]+[C22]0+[C24]+[C26])Column 37: T7 = [C21]+[C23]+[C25]+[C27]

	First crop dri	ip injection of DMDS, Vapam, and Eptam					
Trial ID: Ve	eg37-07	Protocol ID:					
Location: Po	onder Farm St	y Director: Stanley Culpepper					
		Investigator: Stanley Culpepper					
_		ial Information					
Study Director:		Title: Ex. Weed Science					
	University of Georgia						
Postal Code:	31794 E-ma i	il:					
Investigator:	Stanley Culpepper	Title: Ex. Weed Science					
	University of Georgia						
Postal Code:		il:					
Keywords:							
	Trial Lo	ocation					
City: Ty	Ty	Trial Status: completed					
State/Prov.: GA		Trial Reliability: good					
Postal Code: 31	L795	Initiation Date: Jul-18-07					
Country: US	SA	Planned Completion Date:					
Latitude of	LL Corner °:	Longitude of LL Corner °:					
Altitude of LL	Corner: Unit: _	Angle y-axis to North °:					
Map Reference:							
Directions:							
		ial Trial Code:					
Conducted Under	GEP: _ Otr	her Trial Code:					
Guideline		Description					
1.	•	• • •					
•							
- Objectives:							
3							
Conclusions:							
		<i>-</i>					
a	Cooperator						
Cooperator:		Country:					
Organization: _ Address 1:		Phone No: Fax No:					
Address 1: _ Address 2: _		rax no:					
Address 2:							
State/Prov:							
	E-mail:						
Postal Code: _	E-mall: _						

		Crop Descr	iption						
Crop 1: SOLME S	Solanum melo	ongena			Auberg	ine			
Variety: Santana			Desci	ription	ı:				
BBCH Scale:	BVSO			Planti	ng Date	: Aug-	15-07		
Planting Method:	transplar	nt		Rat	e, Unit	: 1	20	inch	
Depth, Unit:	1.5 in		Pereni	nial Ag	ge, Unit	:		_	
Row Spacing, Unit	t: 6 foo	ot Spac	ing Wit	thin Ro	w, Unit	: 20	inch		
Seed Bed:	mulched	Sc	il Temp	peratur	e, Unit	: 98	F		
Soil Moisture:	drip		I	Emergen	ce Date	:		_	
Harvest Date:			Harv	vest Eq	guipment	:			
Harvested Width,	Unit:		Harves	sted Le	ength, U	nit: _			
% Standard Moist	ure:	_	1	Moistur	e Meter	:			
Weighing Equipmen	nt:								
Common	Name: Cype	rus rotundus	<u> </u>						
Common Descrip Plot Width, Unit: Plot Length, Unit Replications: % Slope:	Name: Cyperption: purple: 6 FT: 30 FT	rus rotundus le nutsedge Site and D Site Tilla Study	esign Type: ge Type Design	c Conv	er Farm entiona omized (Complet	e Blocł	ς	
Descrip Plot Width, Unit: Plot Length, Unit Replications: % Slope: Trial Initiation Previous C	Name: Cyperption: purple: 6 FT 30 FT 3 Comments:	rus rotundus le nutsedge Site and D Site Tilla Study	esign Type: ge Type Design rainage	e: Conv	entional omized (Complet -	e Block	Year	
Common Descrip Plot Width, Unit: Plot Length, Unit Replications: % Slope: Trial Initiation Previous C	Name: Cyperption: purple: 6 FT 30 FT 3 Comments:	rus rotundus le nutsedge Site and D Site Tilla Study	esign Type: ge Type Design rainage	e: Conv	entional omized (Complet -	e Block		
Common Descrip Plot Width, Unit: Plot Length, Unit Replications: Slope: Frial Initiation Previous C	Name: Cyperption: purple: 6 FT 30 FT 3 Comments:	rus rotundus le nutsedge Site and D Site Tilla Study	esign Type: ge Type Design rainage	e: Conv	entional omized (Complet -	e Block		
Common Descrip Plot Width, Unit: Plot Length, Unit Replications: % Slope: Trial Initiation	Name: Cyper ption: purp! : 6 FT :: 30 FT 3	rus rotundus le nutsedge Site and D Site Tilla Study Soil D	esign Type: ge Type Design rainage	e: Conv	entional omized (Complet -	e Block		Tank
Common Descrip Plot Width, Unit: Plot Length, Unit Replications: % Slope: Trial Initiation Previous C	Name: Cyper ption: purp : 6 FT :: 30 FT 3 Comments:	rus rotundus le nutsedge Site and D Site Tilla Study Soil D Maintenanc	esign Type: ge Type Design rainage	e: Conv a: Rand e: ous Pes	entional omized (Complet -	e Block	Year	Tank Mix

Field Prep./Maintenance:

Soil Description

Description Name: ___ % Sand: 94 % OM: 6.4 Texture: Sand

% Silt: 2 pH: % Clay: 4 CEC: 1.3 Soil Name: Tifton sandy loam Fert. Level: ___

Analyzed By:

Additional Measured Elements

Element	Quantity	Unit

Moisture Conditions

Overall	Moisture	conditions:	DLID	irrigation		
Closest	Weather	Station:			Distance:	Unit:

	Date	Time	Amount	Unit	Туре	Interval	Unit
1.							

Application Description

	Applicación
	A
Application Date:	Jul-18-07
Time of Day:	4:00pm
Application Method:	broadcast
Application Timing:	preplant
Application Placement:	on soil
Applied By:	
Air Temperature, Unit:	89 F
% Relative Humidity:	25
Wind Velocity, Unit:	4 mph
Wind Direction:	
Dew Presence (Y/N):	n
Water Hardness:	
Soil Temperature, Unit:	90 F
Soil Moisture:	dry
% Cloud Cover:	0
Next Rain Occurred On:	

Crop Stage At Each Application

	A
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	SOLME BVSO
Stage Scale Used:	BBCH
Stage Majority, Percent:	
Stage Minimum, Percent:	
Stage Maximum, Percent:	
Diameter, Unit:	
Height, Unit:	
Height Minimum, Maximum:	

Pest Stage At Each Application

	TODO DOGGO 110
	A
Pest 1 Code, Disc., Scale:	CYPRO W .
Stage Majority, Percent:	•
Stage Minimum, Percent:	
Stage Maximum, Percent:	
Diameter, Unit:	
Height, Unit:	
Height Minimum, Maximum:	
Density, Unit:	0
Coverage, Unit:	

Application Equipment

Application Equi		
A		
drip		
injected PSI		
water		
CO2		
Y		

Equipment Comment:

Reasons:

Trt No	Treatment	Application	Comment
Date	Ву	Notes	5
Date	By	Devia	ations