Comparing paraquat formulations in vegetable row middles.

Trial ID: Veg52-06 Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper
Location: LTF Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Reps: 4 Plots: 6 by 30 feet

Spray vol: 14.8 gal/ac Mix size: 1 liters (min .92602)

_	, ,			_								
	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type		Rate Unit			Amt Product to Measure	Plot N 1	lo. By F 2	Rep 3	4
1	Firestorm	3	L	-	LB A/A		A	22.52 ml/mx	101	205	304	403
	NIS		L	0.25	% V/V	RIVI	Α	2.5 ml/mx				
2	Gramoxone Max	3	L	1	LB A/A	RM	Α	22.52 ml/mx	102	204	302	404
	NIS		L	0.25	% V/V	RM	Α	2.5 ml/mx				
3	Gramoxone Inteon	2	L	1	LB A/A	RM	Α	33.78 ml/mx	103	202	301	405
	NIS		L	0.25	% V/V	RM	Α	2.5 ml/mx				
4	Non-treated								104	201	303	401
5	Firestorm	3	L	1	LB A/A	RM	Α	22.52 ml/mx	105	203	305	402
	NIS		L	0.25	% V/V	RM	Α	2.5 ml/mx				
	Sandea	75	DF	0.75	OZ/A	RM	Α	0.3795 g/mx				

Sort Order: Treatment

Product quantities required for listed treatments and applications in one trial:

Amount*	Unit	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Lot Code
56.300	ml	Firestorm	3	L	
12.499	ml	NIS		L	
28.150	ml	Gramoxone Max	3	L	
42.225	ml	Gramoxone Inteon	2	L	
0.474	g	Sandea	75	DF	

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Per area' calculations based on spray volume= 14.8 gal/ac, mix size= 1 liters (mix size basis).

**Trial Comments** 

OBJECTIVE: Compare paraquat formulations for weed control and pepper drift response.

#### VISUAL PEPPER INJURY:

- 1. Hoods were not used so some injury would be detected.
- 2. Injury was similar among all paraquat formulations. The addition of Sandea with Firestorm did not enhance injury.

WEED RESPONSE (no weeds were present in rep 4):

#### Pink Purslane

1. Control was similar and excellent throughout the season.

#### Livid amaranth:

1. Control was similar and excellent throughout the season.

<sup>\*</sup> Product amount calculations increased 25 % for overage adjustment.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Per volume' calculations use spray volume= 14.8 gal/ac, mix size= 1 liters.

### Feb-21-07 (Veg52-06) AOV Means Table Page 2 of 5

# **University of Georgia**

Comparing paraquat formulations in vegetable row middles.

Trial ID: Veg52-06 Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper Location: LTF Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

					1 11				
		PORPI	PORPI	PORPI	AMALI	AMALI	AMALI		
								CPSAN	CPSAN
		control	control	control	control	control	control	injury	injury
		%	%	, -	%	%	%	%	%
		_	-	· -	-	-	· -	-	-
		3 DA-A	8 DA-A	20 DA-A	3 DA-A	8 DA-A	20 DA-A	3 DA-A	20 DA-A
	Rate								
Rate	Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	LB A/A	93 a	91 a	92 b	99 a	89 a	97 a	13 a	3 a
0.25	% V/V								
x 1	LB A/A	93 a	91 a	93 ab	99 a	89 a	97 a	10 a	0 a
0.25	% V/V								
eon 1	LB A/A	93 a	91 a	93 ab	99 a	89 a	97 a	15 a	0 a
0.25	% V/V								
		0 b	0 b	0 с	0 b	0 b	0 b	1 b	0 a
1	LB A/A	93 a	96 a	97 a	99 a	93 a	98 a	11 a	2 a
0.25	% V/V								
0.75	OZ/A								
		4.0	9.8	3.7	0.0	8.4	1.9	7.3	4.6
		2.1	5.2	2.0	0.0	4.5	1.0	4.8	3.0
		2.83	7.04	2.6	0.0	6.24	1.32	47.65	330.86
		0.0	0.011	0.748	0.0	1.943	0.0	4.265	0.143
		1.00	0.995	0.862		0.584	1.00	0.371	0.705
	1 0.25 x 1 0.25 eon 1 0.25	Rate Unit  1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V x 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V eon 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V	Control % Aug-15-06 3 DA-A  Rate Unit 1 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V  x 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V eon 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V  1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V 0 b 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V 0 b 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V 0 b 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V 0 con 0 b 1 LB A/A 0.25 % V/V 0.75 OZ/A  4.0 2.1 2.83 0.0	Control % Aug-15-06 Aug-20-06 3 DA-A B DA-A  Rate Rate Unit 1 2  1 LB A/A 93 a 91 a 0.25 % V/V  EVAN 1 LB A/A 93 a 91 a 0.25 % V/V  EVAN 1 LB A/A 93 a 91 a 0.25 % V/V  EVAN 1 LB A/A 93 a 91 a 0.25 % V/V  EVAN 1 LB A/A 93 a 91 a 0.25 % V/V  AUGUST 1 LB A/A 93 a 96 a 0.25 % V/V  AUGUST 1 LB A/A 93 a	Control   Control   Control   % Aug-15-06   Aug-20-06   Sep-01-06   8 DA-A   20 DA-A	PORPI PORPI Control Control % Aug-15-06 3 DA-A Aug-20-06 8 DA-A S	Control   Control   Control   Control   Control   Control   % Aug-15-06   Aug-20-06   8 DA-A   Sep-01-06   Aug-15-06   Sep-01-06   Aug-15-06   Sep-01-06   Aug-15-06   Sep-01-06   Aug-15-06   Sep-01-06   Aug-15-06   Aug-15-06   Sep-01-06   Aug-15-06   Aug-15-06   Sep-01-06   Aug-15-06   Au	PORPI PORPI PORPI AMALI AMALI AMALI CONTROI CONTROI CONTROI WA Aug-15-06 Aug-20-06 Sep-01-06 3 DA-A 8 DA-A 20 DA-A 3 DA-A 8 DA-A 20 DA-A	PORPI PORPI CONTROL CO

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

		Con	mparing paraquat f	formulati	ons in vegetabl	le row middle	s.
Tria	l ID: V	Veg52-06	Stud	dy Dir.:	Stanley Culpep	per	
Loca	tion: 1	LTF	Invest	tigator:	Stanley Culpep	per	
			GENERAL TRIAL IN	FORMATION	1		
Affi	- liatio	ctor: Stanley Con: Univ. of Con: 31794			Title: Ext.	Weed Science	ē
Affi		or: Stanley Con: Univ. of Con: 31794			Title: Ext.	Weed Science	5
			TRIAL LOCA	TION			
City	:	ТуТу		Trial	l Status:	complet	ted
Stat	e/Prov	•: GA		Trial	Reliability:	excelle	ent
Post	al Code	e: 31794		Initi	lation Date:	Aug-06-	-06
Coun	try:	USA		Planr	ned Completion 1	Date:	
E-Lo	ngitud	e of LL Corner	o:	N-Latit	tude of LL Corne	er °:	
Alti	tude of ctions	f LL Corner:	Unit:	Angle	e y-axis to Nort	th <b>°:</b>	
			COOPERATOR/LA	NDOWNER			
Coop	erator	:			Country: _		
Org:					Phone No: _		
Addr	ess 1:				Fax No:		
	ess 2:						
City							
	e/Prov						
Post	ai Code	e:					
			: N Con				
	ctive:		-				
Conc	lusions	s:					
			CROP AND WEED DES	SCRIPTION	r		
Weed	Code	Common Name	İ	ntific N		7	
1.	PORPI	pink purslane					
2.	AMALI	livid amaranth				]	
Plant Rate: Row S	ting Da : 1 Spacing	<b>g:</b> 15 inch	Plantir	ow: 12	Perennial Age: inch Seed Be		
			SITE AND DES	STGN			
Plot	Width.	, Unit: 6 I			30 FT <b>F</b>	Reps: 4	
Site	Type:		_		RANDOMIZED COME	_	
	~3~ ± Y E	Prancicaltai	.c beday	-corgii.	TOTAL COMP	LLI DIOCK	
Trial	l Initi	iation Comments:	<b>:</b>				

	Previous Crops	Previous Pesticides	Year
1.			

#### MAINTENANCE

### Field Prep./Maintenance:

		Maintenance	Form	Form	Form		Rate
No.	Date	Treatment Name	Conc	Unit	Type	Rate	Unit
1.							

#### SOIL DESCRIPTION

% Sand: 0.	% OM:	0.	Texture:	
% Silt: 0.	pH:	0.	Soil Name:	
% Clay: 0.	CEC:		Fert. Level:	

#### ADDITIONAL MEASURED ELEMENTS

Element	Quantity	Unit

### MOISTURE CONDITIONS

	Date	Time	Amount	Unit	Type	Interval	Unit
1.							

Overall Moisture Conditions	row middles:	fair moisture		
Closest Weather Station:			Distance:	Unit:

#### APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

	711 1 11 1
	A
Application Date:	Aug-12-06
Time of Day:	9 am
Application Method:	banded
Application Timing:	RM
Applic. Placement:	row middl
Air Temp., Unit:	81 F
% Relative Humidity:	70
Wind Velocity, Unit:	2 mph
Dew Presence (Y/N):	n
Water Hardness:	
Soil Temp., Unit:	85 F
Soil Moisture:	moist
% Cloud Cover:	0

#### CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A
Crop 1 Code, Stage:	CPSAN RM
Stage Scale:	4-5 leaf
Height, Unit:	6 inch

### WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A	
Weed 1 Code, Stage:	PORPI RM	
Stage Scale:	2-3 inch	
Density, Unit:	20 ydsq	
Weed 2 Code, Stage:	AMALI RM	
Stage Scale:	1-2 inch	
Density, Unit:	8 ydsq	

### APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

	A	
Appl. Equipment:	backpack	
Operating Pressure:	18	
Nozzle Type:	TK 2	
Nozzle Size:	floodjet	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	24 inch	
Nozzles/Row:	1	
Band Width, Unit:		
Boom Length, Unit:		
Boom Height, Unit:	15 inch	
Ground Speed, Unit:	3 mph	
Incorporation Equip.:		
Hours to Incorp.:		
Incorp. Depth, Unit:		
Carrier:	water	
Spray Volume, Unit:	14.8 GPA	
Spray pH:		
Propellant:	CO2	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y	

Trt No	Treatment Application Comment