Palmer amaranth competition with cotton.

Trial ID: C28-06 Study Dir.: Andrew MacRae Location: Macon Co. Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Plots: 12 by 25 feet

Spray vol: 14.8 gal/ac	Mix size: 2	liters (min 1.9	9292)							
Trt Treatment No. Name	Form Form Conc Unit	Form Type Rate	Rate	Grow Stg		Amt Product to Measure	Plot N	lo. By F 2		4
1 3 leaf establishment	Conc onit	туре кан	Ullit	Sig	A	to ivieasure	103	_	3 324	
0 in 20 ft					A		103	213	324	401
2 3 leaf establishment 2 in 20 ft					Α		122	217	303	411
3 3 leaf establishment 3 in 20 ft					Α		124	207	318	422
4 3 leaf establishment 5 in 20 ft					Α		118	202	305	409
5 3 leaf establishment 10 in 20 ft					Α		113	218	315	408
6 8 leaf establishment 0 in 20 ft					В		112	211	323	404
7 8 leaf establishment 2 in 20 ft					В		111	219	313	415
8 8 leaf establishment 3 in 20 ft					В		109	215	322	416
9 8 leaf establishment 5 in 20 ft					В		114	206	320	418
10 8 leaf establishment 10 in 20 ft					В		110	220	316	406
11 12 leaf establishmen 0 in 20 ft	t				С		121	212	319	417
12 12 leaf establishmen 2 in 20 ft	t				С		106	208	310	424
13 12 leaf establishmen 3 in 20 ft	t				С		104	214	308	412
14 12 leaf establishmen 5 in 20 ft	t				С		108	224	311	407
15 12 leaf establishmen 10 in 20 ft	t				С		120	210	301	421
16 2 wk after layby 0 in 20 ft					D		116	221	302	402
17 2 wk after layby 2 in 20 ft					D		117	201	307	423
18 2 wk after layby 3 in 20 ft					D		105	209	306	410
19 2 wk after layby 5 in 20 ft					D		119	205	317	419
20 2 wk after layby 10 in 20 ft					D		123	222	309	414
21 Prowl H2O	3.8 LB/GAL	SC 1	QT/A	PRE		33.78 ml/mx	101	203	314	405
22 Prowl H2O Reflex	3.8 LB/GAL 2 LB/GAL		QT/A PT/A	PRE PRE		33.78 ml/mx 16.89 ml/mx	102	216	304	403
23 Prowl H2O Reflex Dual Magnum	3.8 LB/GAL 2 LB/GAL 4 LB/GAL	SC 1	QT/A PT/A OZ/A	PRE PRE 1 leaf		33.78 ml/mx 16.89 ml/mx 12.67 ml/mx	115	204	312	420

Reps: 4 Plots: 12 by 25 feet

Spray vol: 14.8 gal/ac Mix size: 2 liters (min 1.9292)

					- /								
Trt	Treatment	Form Form	Form		Rate	Grow	Appl	Amt Product	Plot N	lo. By I	Rep		
No.	Name	Conc Unit	Type	Rate	Unit	Stg	Code	to Measure	1	2	3	4	
24	Prowl H2O	3.8 LB/G/	L SC	1	QT/A	PRE		33.78 ml/mx	107	223	321	413	
	Reflex	2 LB/G/	L SC	1	PT/A	PRE		16.89 ml/mx					
	Direx	4 LB/G/	L SC	1	QT/A	layby		33.78 ml/mx					
	MSMA	6 LB/G/	L L	2	LB A/A	layby		45.04 ml/mx					
	NIS			0.25	% V/V	layby		4.999 ml/mx					

Sort Order: Treatment

Product quantities required for listed treatments and applications in one trial:

Amount*	Unit	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Lot Code
168.901	ml	Prowl H2O	3.8	SC	
63.338	ml	Reflex	2	SC	
15.836	ml	Dual Magnum	4	SC	
42.225	ml	Direx	4	SC	
56.300	ml	MSMA	6	L	
6.249	ml	NIS			

^{&#}x27;Per area' calculations based on spray volume= 14.8 gal/ac, mix size= 2 liters (mix size basis).

Trial Comments

^{*} Product amount calculations increased 25 % for overage adjustment.

^{* &#}x27;Per volume' calculations use spray volume= 14.8 gal/ac, mix size= 2 liters.

Palmer amaranth competition with cotton.

Trial ID: C28-06 Study Dir.: Andrew MacRae
Location: Macon Co. Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Weed Code		Seed	Seed	Seed	Seed	Seed	Seed	AMAPA
Crop Code		Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Male
Rating Data Type		Harv Bag 1	Harv Bag 2	•	Harv Bag 4	Yield	Yield	Harvest
Rating Unit		lbs	lbs	lbs	lbs	lbs/plt		Num/plt
Rating Date		Oct-26-06	Oct-26-06	Oct-26-06	Oct-26-06			Sep-28-06
ARM Action Codes						T1	T2	
Trt Treatment	Rate		0	0	4	_		-
	Rate Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 3 leaf establishment		2 abc	3 abc	2 a	0 b	/ abc	2587 abc	0 e
0 in 20 ft								
2 3 leaf establishment 2 in 20 ft		3 ab	1 c-h	0 a	0 b	4 efg	1585 efg	2 b-e
		0 1			0.1	4 ()	4000 ()	
3 3 leaf establishment 3 in 20 ft		3 ab	1 e-h	0 a	0 b	4 fgh	1298 fgh	3 b-e
							4005 1	
4 3 leaf establishment		2 bc	3 a-e	0 a	0 b	5 d-g	1635 d-g	5 b-e
5 in 20 ft		0 -	4 (-1-	0 -	0 -	0 1	757 -	0 5
5 3 leaf establishment 10 in 20 ft		2 c	1 fgh	0 a	0 b	2 h	757 h	9 bcd
		0 -1	0 - 1	4 -	0 1	0	0070	0 -
6 8 leaf establishment 0 in 20 ft		3 abc	2 a-f	1 a	0 b	ь а-е	2276 a-e	0 e
		0 -1	0 - 1	4 -	0 1	0	0070	0 -
7 8 leaf establishment 2 in 20 ft		3 abc	3 a-d	1 a	0 b	6 a-e	2273 a-e	3 b-e
		0 -1-	0	0 -	0 1	5 - 6	4000 - 1	4 1
8 8 leaf establishment 3 in 20 ft		3 ab	3 a-e	0 a	0 b	5 c-f	1992 c-f	4 b-e
		0 -1	0 5 5	0 -	0 1		4040 d =	4 1
9 8 leaf establishment 5 in 20 ft		3 abc	2 b-h	0 a	0 b	5 d-g	1640 d-g	4 b-e
		2 aha	0 alb	0.5	0 h	O ark	4074 ab	0 50
10 8 leaf establishment 10 in 20 ft		3 abc	0 gh	0 a	0 b	3 gh	1074 gh	9 bc
11 12 leaf establishment		3 a	3 ab	2 a	0 b	8 a	3024 a	0 e
0 in 20 ft		За	3 ab	Za	0 0	оа	3024 a	0 6
12 12 leaf establishment		3 ab	2 a-h	1 a	0 b	7 2-0	2391 a-e	2 b-e
2 in 20 ft		3 ab	2 a-11	ια	0.5	1 a-6	2331 a-6	2 5-6
13 12 leaf establishment		3 abc	2 a-f	1 a	0 b	6 2-0	2324 а-е	3 b-e
3 in 20 ft		o abc	2 41	۱ ۵	0 5	0 4 0	2024 0 0	0 0 0
14 12 leaf establishment		3 ab	1 c-h	1 a	0 b	5 c-f	1908 c-f	5 b-e
5 in 20 ft		J ab	1 011	۱ ۵	0 5	0 01	1300 01	3 5 0
15 12 leaf establishment		3 ab	2 a-h	1 a	0 b	6 h-e	2175 b-e	10 b
10 in 20 ft		o ab	2 4	. α	0 2	0.00	2110 00	10 5
16 2 wk after layby		4 a	2 a-g	1 a	0 b	6 a-e	2226 a-e	0 e
0 in 20 ft		۰ ۵	2 4 9	. α	0 2	0 4 0	2220 4 0	0 0
17 2 wk after layby		3 ab	3 abc	1 a	1 a	8 ab	2844 ab	2 cde
2 in 20 ft			0 0.00		. ~	0 0.0	_0	_ 000
18 2 wk after layby		4 a	3 a-d	1 a	0 b	7 a-d	2485 a-d	3 b-e
3 in 20 ft			,					3 . 3
19 2 wk after layby		3 ab	3 abc	1 a	0 b	8 ab	2863 ab	4 b-e
5 in 20 ft								
20 2 wk after layby		3 ab	4 a	0 a	0 b	7 a-e	2420 a-e	10 b
10 in 20 ft								
21 Prowl H2O	1 QT/A	0 d	0 h	0 a	0 b	0 i	46 i	75 a
22 Prowl H2O	1 QT/A	3 ab	2 b-h	0 a	0 b	5 d-g	1634 d-g	1 de
Reflex	1 PT/A					9	9	

Wee	ed Code			Seed	Seed	Seed	Seed	Seed	Seed	AMAPA
Cro	p Code			Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Male
Rati	ng Data Type			Harv Bag 1	Harv Bag 2	Harv Bag 3	Harv Bag 4	Yield	Yield	Harvest
Rat	ing Unit			lbs	lbs	lbs	lbs	lbs/plt	lbs/A	Num/plt
Rati	ng Date			Oct-26-06	Oct-26-06	Oct-26-06	Oct-26-06	Oct-26-06	Oct-26-06	Sep-28-06
ARN	Action Codes							T1	T2	
Trt	Treatment		Rate							
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
23	Prowl H2O	1	QT/A	3 ab	2 a-f	1 a	0 b	7 a-e	2389 а-е	1 e
	Reflex	1	PT/A							
	Dual Magnum	12	OZ/A							
24	Prowl H2O	1	QT/A	3 ab	1 d-h	0 a	0 b	4 efg	1586 efg	1 e
	Reflex	1	PT/A							
	Direx	1	QT/A							
	MSMA	2	LB A/A							
	NIS	0.25	% V/V							
LSE) (P=.05)			1.1	1.6	1.4	0.4	2.0	708.2	6.7
	ndard Deviation			0.8	1.2		0.3	1.4	500.7	4.7
CV				28.52	59.15		979.8	25.34	25.34	73.62
Bart	:lett's X2			31.883	18.229		0.0	32.03	32.03	126.882
P(B	artlett's X2)			0.103	0.692	0.938		0.10	0.10	0.001*

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Column 5: T1 = [1]+[2]+[3]+[4] Column 6: T2 = [5]/120*43560

			<u> </u>		,			
Weed Code		AMAPA	AMAPA	AMAPA	AMAPA	AMAPA	AMAPA	AMAPA
Crop Code		Male	Female	Female	Both	Both	Both	Both
Rating Data Type		Harvest						Harvest
Rating Unit		lbs/plt						lbs/A
Rating Date		Sep-28-06	Sep-28-06	Sep-28-06	Sep-28-06	Sep-28-06	Sep-28-06	Sep-28-06
ARM Action Codes					T3	T4	T5	T6
Trt Treatment	Rate							
No. Name F	Rate Unit	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1 3 leaf establishment		0 e	0 b	0 g	0 с	0 g	0 с	0 g
0 in 20 ft				- 3		- 3		- 3
2 3 leaf establishment		9 b-e	3 b	6 fg	5 bc	15 ef	1634 bc	5493 ef
2 in 20 ft		0.00	0.5	o ig	0 50	10 01	1001 50	0 100 01
3 3 leaf establishment		10 bcd	4 b	18 de	7 bc	29 d	2450 bc	10378 d
3 in 20 ft		10 bca	4 0	10 de	7 50	29 u	2430 bc	10376 u
		40 5-		40 -1-4	0 1-	00 -1	0007 h -	40405 -
4 3 leaf establishment		12 bc	5 b	16 def	9 bc	28 d	3267 DC	10135 d
5 in 20 ft								
5 3 leaf establishment		23 a	10 b	41 ab	19 b	65 b	6988 b	23432 b
10 in 20 ft								
6 8 leaf establishment		0 e	0 b	0 g	0 с	0 g	0 с	0 g
0 in 20 ft								
7 8 leaf establishment		3 de	2 b	3 g	4 bc	6 efg	1543 bc	2276 efg
2 in 20 ft								
8 8 leaf establishment		7 cde	3 b	9 efg	7 bc	15 ef	2360 bc	5512 ef
3 in 20 ft				J				
9 8 leaf establishment		6 cde	7 b	24 cd	11 bc	30 d	3993 bc	10845 d
5 in 20 ft		0 000	, 5	2.00	11.50	00 u	0000 50	10010 u
10 8 leaf establishment		16 b	10 b	32 bc	20 b	48 c	7079 b	17517 c
10 in 20 ft		10 0	10 5	32 00	20 0	40 0	7079 5	17517 C
		0.5	0 6	0 =	0 -	0 ~	0.5	0.5
11 12 leaf establishment		0 e	0 b	0 g	0 c	0 g	0 c	0 g
0 in 20 ft				_				
12 12 leaf establishment		3 de	2 b	2 g	4 bc	5 efg	1543 bc	1759 efg
2 in 20 ft								
13 12 leaf establishment		3 de	3 b	3 g	6 bc	5 efg	2087 bc	1918 efg
3 in 20 ft								
14 12 leaf establishment		3 de	3 b	5 fg	8 bc	8 efg	2995 bc	3011 efg
5 in 20 ft								
15 12 leaf establishment		6 cde	8 b	7 efg	18 bc	13 efg	6534 bc	4815 efg
10 in 20 ft				· ·				J
16 2 wk after layby		0 e	0 b	0 g	0 с	0 g	0 с	0 g
0 in 20 ft		0 0	0 0	0 9		0 9		0 9
17 2 wk after layby		0 e	2 b	2 g	3 bc	2 fg	1089 bc	837 fg
2 in 20 ft		0.6		- 9	3 50	- 'y	1000 00	oo, ig
18 2 wk after layby		1.0	4 b	3 g	6 bc	3 efg	2269 bc	1264 efg
3 in 20 ft		1 e	4 0	s y	O DC	s eig	2209 DC	1204 eig
				4	40 !	0 (0440 !	040 (
19 2 wk after layby		1 e	5 b	1 g	10 bc	2 fg	3449 bc	848 fg
5 in 20 ft					,			
20 2 wk after layby		4 de	9 b	5 fg	19 b	8 efg	6988 b	3075 efg
10 in 20 ft								
21 Prowl H2O	1 QT/A	30 a	87 a		162 a	76 a	58806 a	27650 a
22 Prowl H2O	1 QT/A	5 cde	3 b	11 efg	4 bc	16 e	1361 bc	5939 e
Reflex	1 PT/A							
23 Prowl H2O	1 QT/A	2 de	1 b	9 efg	2 bc	11 efg	635 bc	3998 efg
Reflex	1 PT/A]
Dual Magnum	12 OZ/A							
-		•	,	•	•	•	•	

							<u> </u>			
Wee	ed Code			AMAPA						
Cro	p Code			Male	Female	Female	Both	Both	Both	Both
Rati	ng Data Type			Harvest						
Rat	ing Unit			lbs/plt	Num/plt	lbs/plt	Num/plt	lbs/plt	Num/A	lbs/A
Rati	ng Date			Sep-28-06						
ARM Action Codes							T3	T4	T5	T6
Trt	Treatment		Rate							
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
24	Prowl H2O	1	QT/A	5 cde	1 b	8 efg	2 bc	13 efg	726 bc	4883 efg
	Reflex	1	PT/A							
	Direx	1	QT/A							
	MSMA	2	LB A/A							
	NIS	0.25	% V/V							
LSE	(P=.05)			7.4	9.4	9.9	15.2	11.2	5531.8	4048.1
Star	Standard Deviation			5.2	6.6	7.0	10.8	7.9	3911.6	2862.5
CV				84.56	93.38	66.3	79.7	47.19	79.7	47.19
Bart	lett's X2			84.289	152.519	53.026	221.376	48.967	221.376	48.967
P(B	artlett's X2)			0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Column 11: T3 = [7]+[9] Column 12: T4 = [8]+[10] Column 13: T5 = [11]/120*43560 Column 14: T6 = [12]/120*43560 Feb-21-07 (C28-06) Site Description Page 7 of 10

University of Georgia

	Palmer ar	maranth competition with cotto	n.
Trial ID: C28-0		Study Dir.: Andrew MacRae	
Location: Macon		vestigator: Stanley Culpepper	
Study Director:	GENERAL TRIAL	Title: Post Doc	Pag Aggogiata
Affiliation:		iicie. Fost Doc	NCS ASSOCIACE
Postal Code:	University of Georgia		
_	Stanley Culpepper	Title: Ext. Weed	d Science
Affiliation:	University of Georgia		
Postal Code:			
	TRIAL LO	OCATION	
City: Ma	acon Co.	Trial Status:	completed
State/Prov.: Ge		Trial Reliability:	
Postal Code:		Initiation Date:	
Country:		Planned Completion Date	·
E-Longitude of	LL Corner °:	N-Latitude of LL Corner o	
Altitude of LL	Corner: Unit:	Angle y-axis to North °	:
Directions:			
		·	
Cooperatore	COOPERATOR/		
			
_		_	
Postal Code:			
		Conducted Under GEP (Y/N): N iption:	
Objective:			
_	the density of Palmer an	maranth that reduces cotton yie	ald
	the effect of establishme		i.
		aranth biomass accumulated duri	ing the growing season when
	certain densities and tim		
			amaranth's production of seed.
Conclusions:			
Cotton yield re			
		on - all densities of Palmer a	amaranth reduced seed cotton
yield a minimum			
			not reduce seed cotton yield, 5
	row reduced seed cotton	_	
	_		did not reduce seed cotton yield.
	_	_	by Dual Magnum EPOST produced
seed cotton yie	eld similar to the non-tre	eated check.	
Palmer amaranth	ı biomass:		
		con - Palmer amaranth biomass	increased from 5493 to 23432
	ensity increased.		
	-	con - Palmer amaranth biomass :	increased from 2276 to 17517
	ensity increased.		
	-	ton - Palmer amaranth biomass	increased from 1759 to 4815
	ensity increased.		
		ton - Palmer amaranth biomass	ranged from 837 to 3075 lbs/A

for the densities tested.

5) Herbicide treatments - Prowl H2O alone had the greatest amount of Palmer amaranth in the entire trial (27650 lbs/A). The remaining three treatments had Palmer amaranth biomass ranging from 3998 to 5939 lbs/A.

Seed production:

1) Seed heads were harvested, however, the seed has not been fully cleaned at the time of this report.

Conclusions:

- 1) Allowing Palmer amaranth to establish early in the growing season will result in a reduction in yield.
- 2) Palmer amaranth established at the 12-lf stage of cotton or later did not reduce yield.
- 3) Of the herbicide treatments tested only the Prowl H2O plus Reflex PRE followed by Dual Magnum EPOST had no reduction in yield.
- 4) To manage glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth, the use of residual herbicide PRE and EPOST will be required to limit the possibility of yield reduction by preventing the establishment of Palmer amaranth until after the 12-lf stage of cotton.

CROP AND WEED DESCRIPTION

Weed	Code	Common Name	Scientific Name
1.			
Crop	1: GOS	HI COTTON, SHORT STAPLE	Variety: DP 555 RRBG

Planting Date: May-01-06 Planting Method: Seeded - Hilldrop

Rate: 2 per 8 inch Depth: 0.5 in Perennial Age: _____

Row Spacing: 3 ft Spacing Within Row: _____ Seed Bed: bedded

Soil Temperature: 79 F Soil Moisture: moist Emergence Date: _____

SITE AND DESIGN

Plot Width, Unit: 12 FT Plot Length, Unit: 25 FT Reps: 4

Site Type: on farm

Tillage Type: conventional Study Design: FACTORIAL

Trial Initiation Comments:

	Previous Crops	Previous Pesticides	Year
1.			

MAINTENANCE

Field Prep./Maintenance:

Field was conventionally prepared prior to planting.

All checks and treatments where Palmer amaranth were transplanted received Prowl H2O at 1 qt/A plus Reflex at 1 pt/A PRE followed by Dual Magnum at 1 pt/A EPOST to 2-lf cotton. These plots were maintained weed-free via hand removal. For the herbicide treatments, Prowl H2O and Reflex was applied PRE, Dual Magnum was applied EPOST to 2-lf cotton, and MSMA plus Direx was applied at layby to 13-lf cotton.

Palmer amaranth (6- to 8-lf and 3 to 5 inches in height) was transplanted into the plots from a nursery within the same field known to contain the glyphosate resistant biotype. The nursery was sprayed with Roundup WeatherMax at 22 oz/A two weeks prior to transplanting to remove any susceptible biotypes. Transplants were irrigated by hand for one week following planting.

Palmer amaranth was transplanted when cotton had 3, 8, 12, and 17 leaves to simulate escapes from PRE, 4-lf, 8-lf, and layby herbicide applications, respectively.

		Maintenance	Form	Form	Form		Rate
No.	Date	Treatment Name	Conc	Unit	Type	Rate	Unit
1.							

SOIL DESCRIPTION

%	Sand:	82	% OM:	2.0	Texture:	loamy sand
%	Silt:	14	pH:	6.3	Soil Name:	
%	Clay:	4	CEC:		Fert. Level:	

ADDITIONAL MEASURED ELEMENTS

Element	Quantity	Unit

MOISTURE CONDITIONS

	Date	Time	Amount	Unit	Туре	Interval	Unit
1.							

		Station:	1	Distance:	Unit:
CIOSCSC	Weather	Dualition.		Distance	OHITC:

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

	MELUI
	A
Application Date:	
Time of Day:	
Application Method:	
Application Timing:	
Applic. Placement:	
Air Temp., Unit:	
% Relative Humidity:	
Wind Velocity, Unit:	
Dew Presence (Y/N):	
Water Hardness:	
Soil Temp., Unit:	
Soil Moisture:	
% Cloud Cover:	

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	CROF BIAGE A
	A
Crop 1 Code, Stage:	GOSHI
Stage Scale:	
Height, Unit:	

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A
Weed 1 Code, Stage:	
Stage Scale:	
Density, Unit:	

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

	A
Appl. Equipment:	
Operating Pressure:	
Nozzle Type:	
Nozzle Size:	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	
Nozzles/Row:	
Band Width, Unit:	
Boom Length, Unit:	
Boom Height, Unit:	
Ground Speed, Unit:	
Incorporation Equip.:	
Hours to Incorp.:	
Incorp. Depth, Unit:	
Carrier:	
Spray Volume, Unit:	
Spray pH:	
Propellant:	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	

Trt No	Treatment Application Comment