Nutsedge response to various methyl bromide formulations and application methods

Trial ID: Veg45-05 Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper Location: Ponder farm (5160) Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Reps: 3 Plots: 6 by 65 feet

Spray vol: 14.8 gal/ac Mix size: 2 liters (min 1.5048)

	ay ron i no ganac				1							
Trt	Treatment	Form	Form		Rate	Grow	Appl	Amt Product	Plot N	lo. By	Rep	
No.	Name	Conc	Type	Rate	Unit	Stg	Code	to Measure	1	2	3	
1	MB/Pic 67:33 at 400 lb								101	204	303	
2	MB/Pic 57:43 at 400 lb								102	203	304	
3	MB/Pic 50:50 at 400 lb								103	202	301	
4	MB/Pic 67:33 at 400 lb								104	201	302	
	drip applied by H&D											

Sort Order: Treatment

Product quantities required for listed treatments and applications in one trial:

Amount* Unit Treatment Name Lot Code

- * 'Per area' calculations based on spray volume= 14.8 gal/ac, mix size= 2 liters (mix size basis).
- * Product amount calculations increased 25 % for overage adjustment.

Trial Comments

OBJECTIVE: Compare formulations and application methods of methyl bromide.

Nutsedge Response:

- 1. Visual control and actual counts of nutsedge noted that the drip injecting MB was less effective than shank applications.
- 2. There was little difference in 67:33 and 57:43 applications of MB.
- 3. MB 50:50 mixtures tended to be less effective than 67:33 or 57:43 mixtures.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

1. July 13: fumigants were applied, beds were formed and mulch was laid. MB was either applied with super bedder plastic layer injecting 8 inches deep with 3 knives on a 32 inch bedtop or through the drip as noted.

Nutsedge response to various methyl bromide formulations and application methods

Trial ID: Veg45-05 Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper Location: Ponder farm (5160) Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

		(,		resergace	= DOGITEO	7 Currel	-			
We	ed Code		CYPRO							
Rat	ing Data Type		control	control	control	number	number	number	number	number
Rating Unit			percent	percent	percent	#/plot	#/plot	#/plot	#/plot	#/plot
Rat	ing Date		Jul-25-05	Aug-05-05	Aug-11-05	Jul-27-05	Aug-02-05	Aug-08-05	Aug-15-05	Aug-22-05
Trt-	Eval Interval		12 DA-A	23 DA-A	29 DA-A	14 DA-A	20 DA-A	26 DA-A	33 DA-A	40 DA-A
Trt	Treatment	Rate								
No.	Name	Rate Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	MB/Pic 67:33 at 400 lb		100	97	93	1	2	5	13	27
2	MB/Pic 57:43 at 400 lb		100	97	91	1	1	4	13	32
3	MB/Pic 50:50 at 400 lb		99	93	87	1	6	7	22	38
4	MB/Pic 67:33 at 400 lb drip applied by H&D		95	85	81	7	16	23	37	62
LSI) (P=.05)		2.5	8.8	5.1	2.7	4.0	5.5	7.9	10.8
Sta	ndard Deviation		1.2	4.4	2.6	1.3	2.0	2.8	4.0	5.4
CV			1.26	4.76	2.91	55.6	30.45	28.45	18.46	13.56

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

Wee	ed Code		CYPRO	
Rati	ng Data Type		number	
Rati	ng Unit			#/plot
Rati	ng Date			Sep-06-05
Trt-E	Eval Interval			55 DA-A
Trt	Treatment		Rate	
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	9
1	MB/Pic 67:33 at 400 lb			34
2	MB/Pic 57:43 at 400 lb			32
3	MB/Pic 50:50 at 400 lb			39
4	MB/Pic 67:33 at 400 lb			58
	drip applied by H&D			
LSD	(P=.05)			10.6
Star	5.3			
CV				13.03

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

Mar-03-06 (VEG45-05) Site Description Page 4 of 6

University of Georgia

Nutsedge respo	onse to various methyl bro	mide formulations and	d application methods	
Trial ID: Veg45-05	Study Dir.:	Stanley Culpepper		
	.60) Investigator:			
	GENERAL TRIAL INFORMATION	vi		
Study Director: Stanley O		Title: Ext. Weed	Science	
Affiliation: Univ. of		TICLE. EAC. WCCa	betenee	
Postal Code: 31794	Georgia			
Postal Code: 31/94				
T	N. 7	minion non word	Guil and an	
Investigator: Stanley C		Title: Ext. Weed	Science	
Affiliation: Univ. of	Georgia			
Postal Code: 31794				
	TRIAL LOCATION			
City: TyTy		l Status:		
State/Prov.: GA		l Reliability:		
Postal Code: 31794		iation Date:		
Country: USA		ned Completion Date:		
	°: N-Latit			
Altitude of LL Corner:	Unit: Angle	e y-axis to North °:		
Directions:				
	COOPERATOR/LANDOWNER			
Cooperator:		Country:		
Org:		Phone No:		
Address 1:		Fax No:		
Address 2:				
City:				
State/Prov:				
Postal Code:				
Conducted Under GLP (Y/N)	: N Conducted T	Under GEP (Y/N): N		
Guidelines:	Guideline Description:			
Objective:				
Conclusions:				
	CROP AND WEED DESCRIPTION	<u> </u>		
Weed Code Common Name	Scientific	Name		
1. CYPRO purple nutsedg	e			
		-		
Crop 1: none fallow		Variety:		
Planting Date:	Planting Method	l :		
	Depth:			
	Spacing Within Row:			
	Soil Moisture:			
	SITE AND DESIGN			
Plot Width, Unit: 6	FT Plot Length, Unit:	65 FT Reps:	3	
Site Type: research st				
	re Study Design:	RANDOMIZED COMPLETE	BLOCK	
-51 -1F1 F100100100				
Trial Initiation Comments	:			
Previous Crops	Previous P	esticides	Year	

		Maintenance	Form	Form	Form		Rate
No.	Date	Treatment Name	Conc	Unit	Type	Rate	Unit
1.							

SOIL DESCRIPTION

% Sand: 94 % OM: 1.3 Texture: 1

% Silt: 2 pH: 6.2 Soil Name: ____

% Clay: 4 CEC: _____ Fert. Level: Texture: loamy sand Fert. Level: ____

ADDITIONAL MEASURED ELEMENTS

Element	Quantity	Unit

MOISTURE CONDITIONS

	Date	Time	Amount	Unit	Туре	Interval	Unit
1.							

Overall Moisture Conditions:		
Closest Weather Station:	Distance: Unit	:

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

	A
Application Date:	Jul-13-05
Time of Day:	1 pm
Application Method:	broadcast
Application Timing:	fumigatio
Applic. Placement:	in soil
Air Temp., Unit:	92 F
% Relative Humidity:	54
Wind Velocity, Unit:	2 mph
Dew Presence (Y/N):	n
Water Hardness:	
Soil Temp., Unit:	90 F
Soil Moisture:	moist
% Cloud Cover:	70

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A
Crop 1 Code, Stage:	none
Stage Scale:	
Height, Unit:	

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A
Weed 1 Code, Stage:	CYPRO preplant
Stage Scale:	not up
Density, Unit:	see data

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

	A
Appl. Equipment:	see
Operating Pressure:	comments
Nozzle Type:	
Nozzle Size:	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	
Nozzles/Row:	
Band Width, Unit:	
Boom Length, Unit:	
Boom Height, Unit:	
Ground Speed, Unit:	
Incorporation Equip.:	
Hours to Incorp.:	
Incorp. Depth, Unit:	
Carrier:	
Spray Volume, Unit:	
Spray pH:	
Propellant:	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	

Trt No	Treatment Application Comment