



# University of Georgia

Reps: 3                      Plots: 6 by 15 feet  
 Spray vol: 14.8 gal/ac      Mix size: 1 liters (min .34726)

Trt No.	Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Type	Rate	Unit	Grow Stg	Appl Code	Amt to Measure	Product	Plot No. By Rep		
										1	2	3
21	Cultivar E									121	213	325
	Goal	2 L		1 qt/a		at trans	A	16.89 ml/mx				
	Prowl H20	3.8 EC		1 qt/a		at trans	A	16.89 ml/mx				
22	Cultivar E									122	215	322
	Valor	51 WDG		1.5 oz/a		at trans	A	0.759 g/mx				
23	Cultivar E									123	211	324
	Valor	51 WDG		1.5 oz/a		at trans	A	0.759 g/mx				
	Prowl H20	3.8 EC		1 qt/a		at trans	A	16.89 ml/mx				
24	Cultivar E									124	214	323
	Valor	51 WDG		3 oz/a		at trans	A	1.518 g/mx				
25	Cultivar E									125	212	321
	No herbicide											

Sort Order: Treatment

Product quantities required for listed treatments and applications in one trial:

Amount*	Unit	Treatment Name	Lot Code
105.563	ml	Goal 2 L	
211.126	ml	Prowl H20 3.8 EC	
18.976	g	Valor 51 WDG	

\* 'Per area' calculations based on spray volume= 14.8 gal/ac, mix size= 1 liters (mix size basis).  
 \* Product amount calculations increased 25 % for overage adjustment.

### Trial Comments

OBJECTIVE: Compare onion cultivar response to Valor systems.

Onion Response (main effects were predominately significant throughout each evaluation and thus will be discussed):

1. When pooled over cultivars, onion injury from Goal + Prowl was similar to that noted with 1.5 oz of Valor. Additionally, adding Prowl with Valor did not increase injury from Valor alone and in fact reduced late-season injury of three cultivars. Valor at 3 oz/A was more injurious than other mixtures.
2. When pooled over herbicide programs, cultivar 15085 was the most sensitive cultivar to herbicide programs followed by cultivar 101801.
3. Differences among onion stands were not evident when comparing the non-treated control and Valor treated plots when pooled over cultivars.
4. Yields from plots treated with 1.5 oz of Valor alone or mixed with Prowl were equal to and numerically greater than the control. Yields from onion treated with 3 oz/A of Valor were numerically less than other systems when pooled over cultivars. Additionally, looking within onion cultivar the high rate of Valor strongly tended to reduce yield of two cultivars.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

1. Mixing Prowl with Valor on transplant onions is no more injurious than Valor alone and in fact looking at cultivars C, D, and E it appears that the visual late-season injury from Prowl + Valor is less than that noted with Valor alone (could just be an anomaly???, but at least interesting)
2. Valor at 3 oz/A was too injurious.
3. Although there were differences in cultivar response, the same trends were noted with the Goal program. Thus, the slow growing tender cultivars were more sensitive to all herbicide programs, not just Valor.
4. The injury noted with Valor at 1.5 oz/A was marginal for onions. We need to continue to focus with the 1 oz/A rate but more importantly work with 0.5 oz/A to 0.75 oz/A followed by a sequential application of 0.5 to 0.75 oz/A two to three weeks later.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

1. Cultivar A = SSC 1636
2. Cultivar B = XON 204Y
3. Cultivar C = 15085
4. Cultivar D = W1-129
5. Cultivar E = 101801

# University of Georgia

6. Onion yield was determined by harvest 15 row feet of onion.

Plots

103, 108, 113, 118, 123 did not get sprayed

# University of Georgia

## Onion Cultivar Response to Various Valor Herbicide Systems

Trial ID: Onion2-05

Study Dir.: Culpepper

Location: VORF

Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Crop Code	onion injury	onion injury	onion injury	onion injury	onion injury	onion stand cts	onion wt		
Rating Data Type	percent	percent	percent	percent	percent	#/10ft	lb/15ft		
Rating Unit									
Rating Date	Dec-21-04	Dec-27-04	Jan-23-05	Feb-25-05	Mar-31-05	Mar-31-05	May-17-05		
Trt-Eval Interval	14 DA-A	20 DA-A	47 DA-A	80 DA-A	114 DA-A	114 DA-A	161 DA-A		
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate		
		Unit							
			1	2	3	4	5		
			6	7					
1	Cultivar A Goal Prowl H20	1 qt/a 1 qt/a	9	10	7	6	7	34	20
2	Cultivar A Valor	1.5 oz/a	6	11	2	6	8	33	18
3	Cultivar A Valor Prowl H20	1.5 oz/a 1 qt/a	7	11	5	13	8	33	16
4	Cultivar A Valor	3 oz/a	6	7	8	20	22	36	16
5	Cultivar A No herbicide		0	0	0	0	0	35	18
6	Cultivar B Goal Prowl H20	1 qt/a 1 qt/a	7	13	7	7	9	33	23
7	Cultivar B Valor	1.5 oz/a	5	5	5	7	13	36	22
8	Cultivar B Valor Prowl H20	1.5 oz/a 1 qt/a	4	8	2	8	10	35	24
9	Cultivar B Valor	3 oz/a	7	6	11	29	23	34	14
10	Cultivar B No herbicide		0	0	0	0	0	40	20
11	Cultivar C Goal Prowl H20	1 qt/a 1 qt/a	16	24	15	34	33	18	11
12	Cultivar C Valor	1.5 oz/a	12	19	16	32	33	23	12
13	Cultivar C Valor Prowl H20	1.5 oz/a 1 qt/a	6	11	5	4	6	31	13
14	Cultivar C Valor	3 oz/a	13	12	14	29	38	27	7
15	Cultivar C No herbicide		2	0	0	0	2	34	12
16	Cultivar D Goal Prowl H20	1 qt/a 1 qt/a	8	8	0	0	7	40	30
17	Cultivar D Valor	1.5 oz/a	8	7	3	13	11	38	26
18	Cultivar D Valor Prowl H20	1.5 oz/a 1 qt/a	5	5	2	8	3	39	26
19	Cultivar D Valor	3 oz/a	9	9	9	16	25	39	23

## University of Georgia

Crop Code	onion injury percent	onion injury percent	onion injury percent	onion injury percent	onion injury percent	onion stand cts #/10ft	onion wt lb/15ft			
Rating Data Type	Dec-21-04	Dec-27-04	Jan-23-05	Feb-25-05	Mar-31-05	Mar-31-05	May-17-05			
Rating Unit	14 DA-A	20 DA-A	47 DA-A	80 DA-A	114 DA-A	114 DA-A	161 DA-A			
Rating Date										
Trt-Eval Interval										
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate	Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
20	Cultivar D No herbicide			0	0	0	0	0	39	24
21	Cultivar E Goal Prowl H20	1 qt/a 1 qt/a		15	16	17	39	26	22	12
22	Cultivar E Valor	1.5 oz/a		8	12	9	15	13	27	14
23	Cultivar E Valor Prowl H20	1.5 oz/a 1 qt/a		0	5	4	4	6	31	18
24	Cultivar E Valor	3 oz/a		3	9	6	24	22	29	16
25	Cultivar E No herbicide			0	0	0	0	0	25	13
LSD (P=.05)				9.0	6.8	7.5	15.1	10.0	7.4	8.2
Standard Deviation				5.4	4.1	4.6	9.1	6.0	4.5	4.9
CV				86.32	49.1	77.79	72.55	46.16	13.7	27.82

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD)

# University of Georgia

## Onion Cultivar Response to Various Valor Herbicide Systems

Trial ID: Onion2-05 Study Dir.: Culpepper  
 Location: VORF Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

### GENERAL TRIAL INFORMATION

**Study Director:** Stanley Culpepper **Title:** Ex. weed science  
**Affiliation:** University of Georgia  
**Postal Code:** 31793  
**Investigator:** Stanley Culpepper **Title:** Ex. weed science  
**Affiliation:** University of Georgia  
**Postal Code:** 31793

### TRIAL LOCATION

**City:** Vidalia **Trial Status:** completed  
**State/Prov.:** GA **Trial Reliability:** good  
**Postal Code:** 31794 **Initiation Date:** Dec-07-04  
**Country:** U.S.A. **Planned Completion Date:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**E-Longitude of LL Corner °:** \_\_\_\_\_ **N-Latitude of LL Corner °:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Altitude of LL Corner:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Unit:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Angle y-axis to North °:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Directions:**

### COOPERATOR/LANDOWNER

**Cooperator:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Country:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Org:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Phone No:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Address 1:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Fax No:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Address 2:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**City:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**State/Prov:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Postal Code:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Conducted Under GLP (Y/N):** N **Conducted Under GEP (Y/N):** N  
**Guidelines:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Guideline Description:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Objective:**

**Conclusions:**

### CROP AND WEED DESCRIPTION

Weed	Code	Common Name	Scientific Name
1.	.		

**Crop 1:** ALLCE ONION **Variety:** see comments  
**Planting Date:** Dec-07-04 **Planting Method:** transplant  
**Rate:** 3 per ft **Depth:** 1 in **Perennial Age:** \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_  
**Row Spacing:** 15 inch **Spacing Within Row:** 4 inch **Seed Bed:** flat  
**Soil Temperature:** 66 F **Soil Moisture:** irrigated **Emergence Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

### SITE AND DESIGN

**Plot Width, Unit:** 6 FT **Plot Length, Unit:** 15 FT **Reps:** 3  
**Site Type:** research station  
**Tillage Type:** conventional **Study Design:** SPLIT-PLOT

**Trial Initiation Comments:**

	Previous Crops	Previous Pesticides	Year
1.			

### MAINTENANCE

**Field Prep./Maintenance:**

# University of Georgia

No.	Date	Maintenance Treatment Name	Form Conc	Form Unit	Form Type	Rate	Rate Unit
1.							

**SOIL DESCRIPTION**

% Sand: 86      % OM: 0.47      Texture: loamy sand  
 % Silt: 10      pH: 5.8      Soil Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 % Clay: 4      CEC: \_\_\_\_\_      Fert. Level: \_\_\_\_\_

**ADDITIONAL MEASURED ELEMENTS**

Element	Quantity	Unit

**MOISTURE CONDITIONS**

No.	Date	Time	Amount	Unit	Type	Interval	Unit
1.							

Overall Moisture Conditions: .

Closest Weather Station: \_\_\_\_\_ Distance: \_\_\_\_\_ Unit: \_\_\_\_

**APPLICATION DESCRIPTION**

	A
Application Date:	Dec-07-04
Time of Day:	10 am
Application Method:	Broadcast
Application Timing:	PRE
Applic. Placement:	overonion
Air Temp., Unit:	72 F
% Relative Humidity:	64
Wind Velocity, Unit:	6 mph
Dew Presence (Y/N):	n
Water Hardness:	
Soil Temp., Unit:	66 F
Soil Moisture:	moist
% Cloud Cover:	0

**CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION**

	A
Crop 1 Code, Stage:	ALLCE at trans
Stage Scale:	transplan
Height, Unit:	4 inch

**WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION**

	A
Weed 1 Code, Stage:	.
Stage Scale:	.
Density, Unit:	.

# University of Georgia

## APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

	A
Appl. Equipment:	backpack
Operating Pressure:	23
Nozzle Type:	flat fan
Nozzle Size:	11002
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18 inch
Nozzles/Row:	
Band Width, Unit:	
Boom Length, Unit:	4.5 feet
Boom Height, Unit:	15 inch
Ground Speed, Unit:	3 mph
Incorporation Equip.:	
Hours to Incorp.:	
Incorp. Depth, Unit:	
Carrier:	water
Spray Volume, Unit:	14.8 GPA
Spray pH:	
Propellant:	CO2
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y

Trt No	Treatment Application Comment