University of Georgia Nutsedge response to InLine in VIF or LDPE plastic at 2 bed widths.

Trial ID: Veg4-03 Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper Investigator: Stanley Culpepper Location: ponder farm

Study Directo: Affiliation: Postal Code: Investigator: Affiliation: Postal Code:	GENERAL TRIAL INFORM r: Stanley Culpepper University of Georgia 31794 Stanley Culpepper University of Georgia 31794	ATION Title: Ext. Weed Title: Ext. Weed	
State/Prov.: (Postal Code:)		N Trial Status: Trial Reliability: Initiation Date:	completed fair Feb-04-03

Conducted Under GLP (Y/N): N Conducted Under GEP (Y/N): N

CROP AND WEED DESCRIPTION								
Weed	Code	Common Name	Scienti	fic Name]			
1.	CYPZZ	80% CYPRO and 20%	CYPES					
-	Crop 1: . Variety: . Soil Temperature: 59 F Soil Moisture: drip							
			SITE AND DES	IGN				
Plot	Width,	Unit: 6 FT	Plot Length	, Unit: '	75 FT	Reps: 3		
		research station						
Tilla	ge Type	e: plastic	Study 1	Design: H	RANDOMIZED	COMPLETE BLOCK	ζ	
SOIL DESCRIPTION								
% San		% OM : 1.1	Texture:					
% Sil	t: 2	pH: 5.8	Soil Name	: Tift	on sandy lo	bam		

% Clay: 4

Overall Moisture Conditions: .

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

	A	
Application Date:	Feb-04-03	
Time of Day:	11:00am	
Application Method:	Broadcast	
Application Timing:	fumigatio	
Applic. Placement:	under pla	
Air Temp., Unit:	68 F	
<pre>% Relative Humidity:</pre>	48	
Wind Velocity, Unit:	3 mph	
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N	
Soil Temp., Unit:	59 F	
Soil Moisture:	moist	
<pre>% Cloud Cover:</pre>	100	

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A
Crop 1 Code, Stage:	• •
Stage Scale:	•

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A
Weed 1 Code, Stage:	CYPZZ PRE
Stage Scale:	•
Density, Unit:	• •

University of Georgia

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

			A
Appl.	Equipment:	see	comme

University of Georgia

Nutsedge response	e to InLine in	n VIF or LDPE	plastic at 2	bed widths.

Trial ID: Veg4-03 Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper								
Location: ponder farm Investigator: Stanley Culpepper								
Weed Code	Actual	Actual		Actual	EQUAL	EQUAL	EQUAL	EQUAL
Crop Code	CYPZZ							
Rating Data Type	plants							
Rating Unit	#/plot	#/plot		#/plot		#/plot	#/plot	#/plot
Rating Date	Apr-03-03	Apr-21-03	May-07-03	Jun-26-03	Apr-03-03	Apr-21-03	May-07-03	Jun-26-03
Trt-Eval Interval	58 DA-A	76 DA-A	92 DA-A	92 DA-A	58 DA-A	76 DA-A	92 DA-A	92 DA-A
Trt Treatment Rate								
No. Name Unit	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9
1 InLine VIF 32" (35 G)	0.3	2.7	8.3	24.0	0.3	2.7	8.3	24.0
2 InLine LDPE 32" (35 G)	4.7	4.7	19.7	43.7	4.7	4.7	19.7	43.7
3 InLine VIF 17" (35 G)	0.0	0.3	8.3	36.7	0.0	0.6	15.6	68.9
4 InLine LDPE 17" (35 G)	2.7	5.0	36.3	83.3	5.0	9.2	68.3	156.6
5 No fum VIF	24.3	31.0	59.3	67.7	24.3	31.0	59.3	67.7
6 No fum LDPE	18.3	27.7	35.7	80.3	18.3	27.7	35.7	80.3
LSD (P=.05)	3.84	8.69	36.01	27.06	3.89	8.94	45.51	34.86
Standard Deviation	2.11	4.78	19.80	14.87	2.14	4.91	25.02	19.16
CV	25.16	40.18	70.84	26.58	24.36	38.88	72.53	26.06
Bartlett's X2	11.531	9.979	6.761	4.286	9.683	6.585	7.691	5.22
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.021*	0.076	0.239	0.509	0.046*	0.253	0.174	0.39

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Trial Comments

GENERAL COMMENTS: Plastic mulch laid with super bedder plastic layer. Inline applied 1 day after laying plastic.

RESULTS:

1) At 58 DAT, fewer nutsedge emerged through VIF as compared to LDPE film. Additionally, Inline reduced the number of nutsedge emerged through plastic by at least 72%. 2) Few differences were noted at 76 or 92 DAT.

3) At 140+ days after laying plastic, a trend for fewer nutsedge in VIF compared to LDPE film were noted. Impacts from Inline were quite variable.