Transplant yellow squash tolerance to Dacthal applied 2 d after transplant.

Trial ID: Veg-31-03 Study Dir.:

Location: Ponder farm Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

GENERAL TRIAL INFORMATION

Study Director: Stanley CulpepperTitle: Ext. Weed ScienceAffiliation: University of GeorgiaPostal Code: 31794Investigator: Stanley CulpepperTitle: Ext. Weed ScienceAffiliation: University of GeorgiaPostal Code: 31794

Conducted Under GLP (Y/N): N Conducted Under GEP (Y/N): N

Row Spacing: 6 feet Seed Bed: bedded

Soil Temperature: 66 F Soil Moisture: drip

Plot Width, Unit: 6 FT Plot Length, Unit: 15 FT Reps: 4

Site Type: research station

Tillage Type: conventional Study Design: RANDOMIZED COMPLETE BLOCK

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Texture: sand **% OM:** 1.1 **% Sand:** 94 **% Silt:** 2 **% Clay:** 4

pH: 5.9 Soil Name: Tifton Sandy Loa

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

A B C D E F

Application Date: Apr-03-03
Time of Day: 7 am
Application Method: broadcast
Application Timing: POT
Applic. Placement: overtop
Air Temp., Unit: 64 F
% Relative Humidity: 59
Wind Velocity, Unit: 2 mph
Dew Presence (Y/N): n
Soil Temp., Unit: 69 F

Soil Temp., Unit: 69 Soil Moisture: drip & Cloud Cover: 25

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

A B C D E F

Crop 1 CUUPE Stage: POT

Stage Scale: 2d transp
Height, Unit: 4 inch

Mar-04-04 (VEG31-03) Site Description Page 2 of 6

University of Georgia

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT Α D Appl. Equipment: backpack Operating Pressure: 22 Nozzle Type: flat fan Nozzle Size: 11002 Nozzle Spacing, Unit:18 inch Nozzles/Row: Boom Length, Unit: 4.5 feet Boom Height, Unit: 15 Ground Speed, Unit: 3 Carrier: water Spray Volume, Unit: 14.8 GPA Propellant: CO2 Tank Mix (Y/N): Y

University of Georgia

Transplant yellow squash tolerance to Dacthal applied 2 d after transplant.

Trial ID: Veg-31-03 Study Dir.:

Location: Ponder farm Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

Hocation: Tonact talm investigator: Stanley Carpepper								
Weed Code					Harvest1	Harvest1	Harvest2	Harvest2
Crop Code	CUUPE	CUPPE						
Rating Data Type	If disto	If disto	If disto	If distr	fruit	fruit	fruit	fruit
Rating Unit	percent	percent	percent	percent	number	wt/kg	number	wt/kg
Rating Date	Apr-21-03	May-03-03	May-11-03	May-26-03	May-12-03	May-12-03	May-14-03	May-14-03
Trt-Eval Interval	18 DA-A	30 DA-A	38 DA-A	53 DA-A	39 DA-A	39 DA-A	41 DA-A	41 DA-A
PRM Data Type								
Trt Treatment Rate								
No. Name Rate Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 None	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	1.7	11.7	1.9
2 Dacthal 4 lb/a	0.0	3.3	1.0	0.0	4.3	0.7	9.3	1.6
3 Dacthal 8 lb/a	0.0	9.3	6.3	4.0	8.3	1.3	9.0	1.6
LSD (P=.05)	0.00	3.89	2.72	1.31	14.92	2.28	4.90	1.06
Standard Deviation	0.00	1.72	1.20	0.58	6.58	1.01	2.16	0.47
CV	0.0	40.64	49.17	43.3	85.86	82.27	21.6	26.96
Bartlett's X2	0.0	3.898	0.151	0.0	3.192	2.816	0.323	1.221
P(Bartlett's X2)	-	0.048*	0.698		0.203	0.245	0.851	0.543

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

		_			J			
Weed Code	Harvest3	Harvest3	Harvest4	Harvest4	Harvest5	Harvest5	Harvest6	Harvest6
Crop Code	CUPPE							
Rating Data Type	fruit							
Rating Unit	number	wt/kg	number	wt/kg	number	wt/kg	number	wt/kg
Rating Date	May-16-03	May-16-03	May-19-03	May-19-03	May-21-03	May-21-03	May-23-03	May-23-03
Trt-Eval Interval	43 DA-A	43 DA-A	46 DA-A	46 DA-A	48 DA-A	48 DA-A	50 DA-A	50 DA-A
PRM Data Type								
Trt Treatment Rate								
No. Name Rate Unit	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1 None	6.7	1.0	2.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.7
2 Dacthal 4 lb/a	12.3	2.1	2.7	0.6	0.7	0.1	2.0	0.3
3 Dacthal 8 lb/a	9.0	1.4	1.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.6
LSD (P=.05)	6.34	1.08	2.56	0.33	1.51	0.23	6.18	0.65
Standard Deviation	2.80	0.48	1.13	0.15	0.67	0.10	2.73	0.29
CV	29.99	31.47	50.87	32.69	300.0	300.0	84.68	53.58
Bartlett's X2	0.483	0.48	2.735	1.067	0.0	0.0	0.305	0.922
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.487	0.787	0.255	0.587			0.859	0.631

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

		_		,	J			
Weed Code	Harvest7	Harvest7	Harvest8	Harvest8	Harvest9	Harvest9	Harvet10	Harvet10
Crop Code	CUPPE							
Rating Data Type	fruit							
Rating Unit	number	wt/kg	number	wt/kg	number	wt/kg	number	wt/kg
Rating Date	May-26-03	May-26-03	May-30-03	May-30-03	Jun-03-03	Jun-03-03	Jun-05-03	Jun-05-03
Trt-Eval Interval	53 DA-A	53 DA-A	57 DA-A	57 DA-A	61 DA-A	61 DA-A	63 DA-A	63 DA-A
PRM Data Type								
Trt Treatment Rate								
No. Name Rate Unit	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1 None	11.0	2.2	12.0	2.5	8.3	2.7	8.0	1.6
2 Dacthal 4 lb/a	11.3	2.0	5.7	1.3	13.7	4.7	5.0	1.3
3 Dacthal 8 lb/a	7.3	1.4	12.7	3.0	6.0	2.1	2.0	0.5
LSD (P=.05)	8.26	1.50	6.46	2.05	7.05	1.87	3.21	0.87
Standard Deviation	3.64	0.66	2.85	0.90	3.11	0.83	1.41	0.38
CV	36.85	35.89	28.17	40.29	33.31	26.07	28.28	34.26
Bartlett's X2	0.097	0.36	3.468	4.302	0.32	0.948	1.486	1.312
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.953	0.835	0.177	0.116	0.852	0.622	0.476	0.519

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Weed Code		TOTAL	TOTAL				
Crop Code		CUPPE	CUPPE				
Rating Data Typ	е		fruit f				
Rating Unit			number wt/				
Rating Date		Sep-04-03 Sep-04-					
Trt-Eval Interval		154 DA-A	154 DA-A				
PRM Data Type		T1	T2				
Trt Treatment		Rate					
No. Name	Rate	Unit	25	26			
1 None			75.0	14.7			
2 Dacthal	4	lb/a	67.0	14.6			
3 Dacthal	8	lb/a	59.0	12.3			
LSD (P=.05)			28.20	4.68			
Standard Deviat	ion	12.44	2.06				
CV		18.57	14.88				
Bartlett's X2		0.149	0.783				
P(Bartlett's X2)			0.928	0.676			

Means followed by same letter do not significantly

differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Column 25: T1 =

[5]+[7]+[9]+[11]+[13]+[15]+[17]+[19]+[21]+[23]

Column 26: T2 =

[6]+[8]+[10]+[12]+[14]+[16]+[18]+[20]+[22]+[24]

Trial Comments

GENERAL COMMENTS: Telone applied over trial area. Visual injury ratings were a measurement of leaf distortion as stunting was not noted.

OBJECTIVE: Why do we not have Dacthal labeled for over the top application to transplant squash?

VISUAL INJURY:

1) Leaf distortion was noted in Dacthal plots. However, distortion was minor and less than 10% throughout the season.

YIELD:

- 1) As always, individual harvest dates were highly variable. However, Dacthal treatments had no significant impacts except for Harvest 10 where the 8 lb rate treatment had a few number of squash harvested.
- 2) Total yield was less variable with CV's less than 19. Fruit number and weight were not impacted by Dacthal. There might be a slight trend for lower yields in the 8 lb/A treatment. However, 4 lb/A would be the X rate.

CONCLUSION:

1) Dacthal at 4 lb/A did not significantly injure Squash.