University of Georgia

Tomato tolerance to Envoke applied PRE and POST over-the-top.

Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper Trial ID: Veg13-03 Location: Ponder farm Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

GENERAL TRIAL INFORMATION

Study Director: Stanley Culpepper Title: Ext. Weed Science

Affiliation: University of Georgia

Postal Code: 31794

Investigator: Stanley Culpepper Title: Ext. Weed Science

Affiliation: University of Georgia
Postal Code: 31794

TRIAL LOCATION

City: TyTy Trial Status: completed Trial Reliability: good State/Prov.: Ga Initiation Date: Postal Code: 31794 Feb-25-03

Country:

Conducted Under GLP (Y/N): N Conducted Under GEP (Y/N): N

CROP AND WEED DESCRIPTION

Weed	Code	Common	Name	Scientific	Name
1.					

Crop 1: LYPES TOMATO Variety: Amelia

Planting Date: Mar-18-03 Planting Method: transplant

Rate: 1 18" Depth: 2 in

Row Spacing: 6 foot Spacing Within Row: 18 inch Seed Bed: plasticulture

Soil Temperature: 75 F Soil Moisture: drip

SITE AND DESIGN

Plot Width, Unit: 6 FT Plot Length, Unit: 25 FT Reps: 3

Site Type: FIELD

Tillage Type: Plasticulture Study Design: RANDOMIZED COMPLETE BLOCK

SOIL DESCRIPTION

% Sand: 94

o om: 1.3 Texture: sand pH: 5.7 Soil No. % Silt: 2 Soil Name: Tifton sandy loam

% Clay: 4

Overall Moisture Conditions: .

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

		A		В
Application Date:	Feb-	25-03	Apr-	12-03
Time of Day:	3:00	рm	10:0	0am
Application Method:	Broad	dcast	Broa	dcast
Application Timing:	PRE		POST	ı
Applic. Placement:	und.	plas	over	top
Air Temp., Unit:	68	F	67	F
% Relative Humidity:	46		49	
Wind Velocity, Unit:	1	mph	1	mph
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N		N	
Soil Temp., Unit:	60	F	79	F
Soil Moisture:	mois	t	perf	ect
% Cloud Cover:	100		0	

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A	В
Crop 1 Code, Stage:	LYPES prior to	LYPES POST
Stage Scale:		7-8 leaf
Height, Unit:	0	9 inch

University of Georgia

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A	В
Stage Scale:		
Density, Unit:		

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

	III I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
		A		В
Appl. Equipment:	supper be		back	pack
Operating Pressure:	28	28		
Nozzle Type:	flat	fan	flat fan	
Nozzle Size:	11002		11002	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	12	inch	18	inch
Nozzles/Row:	5		4	
Boom Length, Unit:	6	feet	4.5	feet
Boom Height, Unit:	12	inch	15	inch
Ground Speed, Unit:	3	mph	3	mph
Carrier:	water		water	
Spray Volume, Unit:	25.0	GPA	14.8	GPA
Propellant:	CO2		CO2	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y		Y	

University of Georgia Tomato tolerance to Envoke applied PRE and POST over-the-top.

Trial ID: Veg13-03 Study Dir.: Stanley Culpepper Investigator: Stanley Culpepper Location: Ponder farm

		<u>,</u>	- 2 1	- 1 1 -			
				plant1	plant2	plant3	plant4
tomato	tomato	tomato	tomato	tomato	tomato	tomato	tomato
injury	injury	injury	injury	height	height	height	height
percent	percent	percent	percent	in	in	in	in
Apr-21-03	May-03-03	May-11-03	May-26-03		Apr-18-03	Apr-18-03	Apr-18-03
55 DA-A	67 DA-A			37 DA-A	37 DA-A	37 DA-A	37 DA-A
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.7	12.7	13.7	12.7
10.0	5.0	0.0	1.7	13.3	13.0	12.3	13.7
14.0	7.7	3.7	0.0	14.7	13.0	13.7	13.3
21.7	12.3	5.0	0.0	13.3	12.7	12.7	13.0
23.3	15.7	8.3	0.0	10.7	10.7	11.3	11.7
29.0	18.3	13.3	0.0	11.3	10.7	12.0	11.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.3	12.3	12.7	12.7
11.10	4.41	2.53	1.94	3.03	1.59	2.29	2.47
6.24	2.48	1.42	1.09	1.70	0.90	1.29	1.39
44.58	29.42	32.76	458.26	13.21	7.37	10.21	11.02
4.687	2.979	1.593	0.0	8.174	4.585	7.594	2.916
0.321	0.395	0.451	•	0.226	0.598	0.18	0.713
	injury percent Apr-21-03 55 DA-A 1 0.0 10.0 14.0 21.7 23.3 29.0 0.0 11.10 6.24 44.58 4.687	tomato injury percent Apr-21-03 67 DA-A 1 2 0.0 0.0 10.0 5.0 14.0 7.7 21.7 12.3 23.3 15.7 29.0 18.3 0.0 0.0 11.10 4.41 6.24 2.48 44.58 29.42 4.687 2.979	tomato injury percent Apr-21-03 67 DA-A 1 2 3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 5.0 0.0 14.0 7.7 3.7 21.7 12.3 5.0 23.3 15.7 8.3 29.0 18.3 13.3 13.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.10 4.41 2.53 6.24 2.48 1.42 44.58 29.42 32.76 4.687 2.979 1.593	tomato injury percent Apr-21-03 67 DA-A	tomato injury percent Apr-21-03 55 DA-A tomato injury percent May-03-03 67 DA-A tomato injury percent May-11-03 May-26-03 Apr-18-03 37 DA-A may-21-03 Apr-18-03 Apr-18-03 37 DA-A 1 2 3 4 5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.7 13.3 14.0 7.7 3.7 0.0 14.7 21.7 12.3 5.0 0.0 10.7 29.0 18.3 13.3 0.0 11.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.7 10.7 29.0 18.3 13.3 0.0 11.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 12.3 1.7 44.58 29.42 32.76 458.26 13.21 4.687 2.979 1.593 0.0 8.174	tomato injury percent Apr-21-03 55 DA-A tomato injury percent Apr-21-03 67 DA-A tomato injury percent May-03-03 67 DA-A tomato injury percent May-11-03 May-26-03 Apr-18-03 37 DA-A tomato height in Apr-18-03 Apr-18-03 37 DA-A 1 2 3 4 5 6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 14.7 12.7 10.0 5.0 0.0 1.7 13.3 13.0 14.0 7.7 3.7 0.0 14.7 13.0 21.7 12.3 5.0 0.0 13.3 12.7 23.3 15.7 8.3 0.0 10.7 10.7 29.0 18.3 13.3 0.0 11.3 10.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 12.3 12.3 11.10 4.41 2.53 1.94 3.03 1.59 6.24 2.48 1.42 1.09 1.70 0.90 44.58 29.42 32.76 458.26 13.21 7.37 4.687 2.979 1.593 0.0	tomato injury percent Apr-21-03 55 DA-A tomato injury percent Apr-21-03 155 DA-A tomato injury percent May-03-03 155 DA-A tomato injury percent May-11-03 155 DA-A tomato height in Apr-18-03 167 DA-A Apr-18-03 17 D

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

University of Georgia

Weed Code	plant5	plant6	Avg.6pla	Avg.5pla			
Crop Code	tomato	tomato	tomato	tomato			
Rating Data Type	height	height	height	height			
Rating Unit	in	in	in	in			
Rating Date	Apr-18-03	Apr-18-03	Apr-18-03	May-01-03			
Trt-Eval Interval	37 DA-A	37 DA-A		-			
ARM Action Codes			T1				
Trt Treatment Rat	е						
No. Name Rate Uni	9	10	11	12			
1 Envoke 0.0047 lb a	i/a 14.0	14.0	13.6	22.0			
2 Envoke 0.0094 lb a	i/a 13.3	13.7	13.2	23.7			
3 Envoke 0.0141 lb a	i/a 16.0	15.0	14.3	23.0			
4 Envoke 0.0047 lb a	i/a 12.0	11.0	12.4	23.0			
NIS 0.25 % v	/v						
5 Envoke 0.0094 lb a	i/a 11.3	12.3	11.3	21.0			
NIS 0.25 % v	/v						
6 Envoke 0.0141 lb a	i/a 11.3	12.0	11.4	21.7			
NIS 0.25 % v	/v						
7 Non-treated	13.7	12.7	12.7	23.7			
LSD (P=.05)	3.08	1.66	1.28	3.46			
Standard Deviation	1.73	0.93	0.72	1.95			
CV	13.23	7.21	5.64	8.62			
Bartlett's X2	8.519	3.048	5.489	3.667			
P(Bartlett's X2)	0.203	0.693	0.483	0.722			

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Column 11: T1 = ([C5]+[C6]+[C7]+[C8]+[C9]+[C10])/6

Trial Comments

OBJECTIVE: Determine tomato tolerance to Envoke applied PRE and POST.

VISUAL TOMATO RESPONSE:

- 1) Envoke at 0.0047 lb ai/A PRE did not visually stunt tomato.
- 2) Envoke PRE at 0.0094 to 0.0141 lb ai/A PRÉ visually reduced plant growth 10 to 14% at 33 days after planting, 5-8% at 45 days after planting, 0 to 4% at 53 days after planting, and 0-2% at 68 days after planting.
- 3) Envoke POST was more injurious than when applied PRE.
- 4) Applied POST, Envoke at 0.0047-0.0141 lb ai/Å caused chlorotic symptoms and a slight visual stunting of 22-23% at 9 day after POST, 12-16% at 21 day after POST, 8-13% at 29 day after POST, and 0% at 44 day after POST. The bulk of injury was a quick chlorotic flash that disappeared over time. Some minor stunting was also noted.

PLANT HEIGHTS:

- 1) At 30 or 43 day after planting, PRE herbicides did not affect plant growth.
- 2) At 6 day after POST, Envoke at 0.0047 lb ai/A did not reduce plant growth compared to the non-treated control.
- 3) At 6 day after POST, Envoke at 0.0094 to 0.0141 reduced plant growth 15% compared to the non-treated control.
- 4) By 19 day after POST, plant growth was not affected by any treatment. There was a slight trendfor still smaller plants with Envoke POST (0.0094-0.0141 plots).

CONCLUSIONS:

- 1) Envoke appears to be a far better herbicide POST than PRE, so POST applications would be more useful.
- 2) Chlorotic injury from topical applications of Envoke are concerning.
- 3) Envoke should be labeled as a directed (keep spray out of bud) spray in tomato.
- 4) Trial could not be harvested as I ran out of time picking protocol studies.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

1) Admire applied March 25 at 12 oz/A.