		Weed man	nagement systems in seeded onion.						
Trial	ID: O	nion3-03	Study Dir.:						
Locat	ion: V	ORF	Investigator: Stanley Culpepper						
			RIAL INFORMATION						
Study	Diroc	tor: Stanley Culpepper	Title: Ext. Weed	Science					
		: University of George		Scrence					
	1 Code		La						
		r: Stanley Culpepper	Title: Ext. Weed	Science					
		: University of Georg		Solonoc					
	1 Code		~~						
		TRI	AL LOCATION						
City:		ТуТу	Trial Status:	completed					
State	/Prov.	: GA	Trial Reliability:	good					
			Initiation Date:	Oct-21-02					
Count	ry:	UGA							
_	_								
Condu	cted U	nder GLP (Y/N): N	Conducted Under GEP (Y/N): N						
		CROP AND I	WEED DESCRIPTION						
Weed	Code	Common Name	Scientific Name						
1. OEOLA cut		cutleaf eveningprimrose							
2. LAMAM henbi		henbit							
3.	STEME	chickweed							
			·						
Crop	1: AL:	LCE ONION	Variety: Grannex	33PRR/Shamrock6372					
D1	D	La. 0at 01 00	Dianting Mathed, commontional						

CLOP I. ALLCE UNION		Vallecy. Grannex55FKK/Snamtock65/2
Planting Date: Oct-21-02	Planting Method:	conventional
Rate: 1 per 3"	Depth: 0.25 in	
Row Spacing: 15 inch		Seed Bed: flat
Soil Temperature: 79 F	Soil Moisture: moist	Emergence Date: Oct-31-02

 SITE AND DESIGN

 Plot Width, Unit:
 12
 FT
 Plot Length, Unit:
 25
 FT
 Reps:
 4

 Site Type:
 research station

 Tillage Type:
 CONVENTIONAL-TILL
 Study Design:
 RANDOMIZED
 COMPLETE
 BLOCK

 SOIL DESCRIPTION

 % Sand: 86
 % OM: 0.47
 Texture: loamy sand

 % Silt: 10
 pH: 5.8
 % Clay: 4

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION в D Α С Application Date: Oct-21-02 Oct-31-02 Nov-13-02 Jan-08-03 2 pm Time of Day: 2 PM 11 am 11 am Application Method: broadcast broadcast broadcast broadcast Application Timing: spike V5/transp PRE V1-V2 Applic. Placement: surface overtop overtop overtop Air Temp., Unit: 80 F 64 F 64 F 53 F % Relative Humidity: 47 33 47 47 Wind Velocity, Unit: 3 2 5 1 mph mph mph mph Dew Presence (Y/N): n n n n Soil Temp., Unit: 70 69 F 45 F 79 F F Soil Moisture: irrigated moist wet moist % Cloud Cover: 90 20 25 0

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A	В	С	D
Crop 1 Code, Stage:	ALLCE PRE	ALLCE spike	ALLCE 2-leaf	ALLCE 5-leaf
Stage Scale:	•	VO	V1-V2	V5
Height, Unit:	0	0.25 inch	2 inch	5 inch

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATI	ON
------------------------------	----

	A	В	С	D
Weed 1 Code, Stage:	OEOLA PRE	OEOLA PRE	OEOLA .	OEOLA .
Stage Scale:	•	•	0.5 inch	2" inseed
Density, Unit:	· ·	· ·	25 ydsq	
Weed 2 Code, Stage:	LAMAM PRE	LAMAM <.25 inch	LAMAM .	LAMAM
Stage Scale:	•	•	0.75 inch	3"in seed
Density, Unit:	• •	· ·	4 ydsq	• •
Weed 3 Code, Stage:	STEME PRE	STEME PRE	STEME .	STEME .
Stage Scale:	•	•	0.25 inch	2.5 inch
Density, Unit:	• •	• •	2 ydsq	• •

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

					1			
		A		в		С		D
Appl. Equipment:		backpack		backpack		backpack		pack
Operating Pressure:	22		22		22		22	
Nozzle Type:	flat fan		flat	fan	flat	fan	flat	fan
Nozzle Size:	11002		11002		11002		11002	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18	inch	18	inch	18	inch	18	inch
Nozzles/Row:	4		4		4		4	
Boom Length, Unit:	4.5	feet	4.5	feet	4.5	feet	4.5	feet
Boom Height, Unit:	15	inch	15	inch	15	inch	15	inch
Ground Speed, Unit:	3	mph	3	mph	3	mph	3	mph
Carrier:	water		water		water		water	
Spray Volume, Unit:	14.8	GPA	14.8	GPA	14.8	GPA	14.8	GPA
Propellant:	CO2		CO2		CO2		CO2	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	У		У		У		У	

Standardized Summary Page 3 of 6

Mar-02-04 (ONION3-03)			•			Standa	rdized Sumr	mary Page 3	of 6
		Univ	/ersity	of Ge	orgia				
		Weed manag	gement sys	tems in s	eeded onio	on.			
Trial ID: Onion3-0	3		Study Di	r.:					
Location: VORF]	Investigat	or: Stanl	ey Culpep	per			
Weed Code							OEOLA	OEOLA	OEOLA
Crop Code		ALLCE	ALLCE	ALLCE	ALLCE	ALLCE			
Rating Data Type		injury	injury	injury	injury	injury	control	control	control
Rating Unit		percent	percent	percent	percent	percent	percent	percent	percent
Rating Date		Nov-13-02	Dec-13-02	Jan-14-03	Feb-15-03	Mar-27-03	Jan-14-03	Feb-15-03	Mar-27-03
Trt-Eval Interval						157 DA-A	157 DA-A	157 DA-A	157 DA-A
ARM Action Codes									
Trt Treatment	Rate								
No. Name	Rate Unit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 Transplant Onions				0.0	0.0	0.0	99.0	98.0	96.3
Goal	1 qt/a								
Prowl	1 qt/a								
2 Seeded Onion	•	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	5.0	70.0	72.0	68.0
Dacthal	4 lb/a								
Prowl	2 pt/a								
Goal	1.3 oz/a								
Goal	8 oz/a								
3 Seeded Onion		0.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	2.5	77.3	68.5	78.5
Dacthal	4 lb/a								
Dacthal	2 lb/a								
Prowl	2 pt/a								
Goal	1.3 oz/a								
Goal	8 oz/a								
4 Seeded Onion		0.0	0.0	12.3	1.3	3.8	81.3	80.3	88.8
Dacthal	4 lb/a								
Dacthal	4 lb/a								
Prowl	2 pt/a								
Goal	1.3 oz/a								
Goal	8 oz/a								
5 Prowl	2 pt/a	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.0	7.5	53.8	50.5	65.0
Goal	1.3 oz/a								
Goal	8 oz/a								
6 non herbicide		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LSD (P=.05)		0.00	0.00	3.81	1.54	6.54	12.80	12.46	8.38
Standard Deviation		0.00	0.00	2.53	1.02	4.34	8.50	8.27	5.56
CV		0.0	0.0	31.9	489.9	138.82	13.37	13.43	8.41
Bartlett's X2		0.0	0.0	1.272	0.0	1.396	4.506	14.191	2.529
P(Bartlett's X2)				0.736		0.706	0.212	0.007*	0.64

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

			•••••	orony		, oi giù				
Weed Code			STEME	STEME	LAMAM	LAMAM	LAMAM			
Crop Code								ALLCE	ALLCE	ALLCE
Rating Data Type			control	control	control	control	control	yield	yield	yield
Rating Unit			percent	percent	percent	percent	percent	#/12 ['] row	lb/12'ro	#/acre
Rating Date			Feb-15-03	Mar-27-03	Jan-14-03	Feb-15-03	Mar-27-03	Apr-29-03	Apr-29-03	Apr-29-03
Trt-Eval Interval			157 DA-A	157 DA-A				-	-	
ARM Action Codes										T1
Trt Treatment		Rate								
No. Name	Rate	Unit	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1 Transplant Onions			96.0	96.8	99.0	99.0	99.0	24.3	8.7	58685.0
Goal		qt/a								
Prowl		qt/a								
2 Seeded Onion			99.0	98.8	99.0	99.0	99.0	18.3	2.1	44165.0
Dacthal	4	lb/a								
Prowl	2	pt/a								
Goal		oz/a								
Goal	-	oz/a								
3 Seeded Onion			99.0	98.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	16.5	2.3	39930.0
Dacthal	4	lb/a							_	
Dacthal	2	lb/a								
Prowl		pt/a								
Goal		oz/a								
Goal		oz/a								
4 Seeded Onion			99.0	98.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	21.0	2.2	50820.0
Dacthal	4	lb/a								
Dacthal	4	lb/a								
Prowl		pt/a								
Goal		oz/a								
Goal		oz/a								
5 Prowl		pt/a	57.3	63.3	98.0	99.0	99.0	14.5	0.8	35090.0
Goal		oz/a								
Goal		oz/a								
6 non herbicide			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.4	14520.0
LSD (P=.05)			7.58	8.14	1.23	0.00	0.00	9.43	0.79	22831.10
Standard Deviation			5.03	5.40	0.82	0.00	0.00	6.26	0.52	15151.60
CV			6.71	7.13	0.99	0.0	0.0	37.38	19.06	37.38
Bartlett's X2			3.298	22.456	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.834	7.615	24.834
P(Bartlett's X2)			0.069	0.001*				0.001*	0.179	0.001*
						<u> </u>				

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT) Column 16: T1 = [14]*2420

	ed Code			
	o Code		ALLCE	
	ng Data Type	yield		
	ng Unit			lb/acre
	ng Date			Apr-29-03
	Eval Interval			
	Action Codes			T2
Trt	Treatment	-	Rate	
	Name	Rate	Unit	17
1	Transplant Onions			20981.4
	Goal	1	qt/a	
-	Prowl	1	qt/a	
2	Seeded Onion			4997.3
	Dacthal		lb/a	
	Prowl		pt/a	
	Goal		oz/a	
	Goal	8	oz/a	
3				5674.9
	Dacthal	-	lb/a	
	Dacthal		lb/a	
	Prowl		pt/a	
	Goal		oz/a	
	Goal	8	oz/a	
4	Seeded Onion			5336.1
	Dacthal	-	lb/a	
	Dacthal	-	lb/a	
	Prowl		pt/a	
	Goal		oz/a	
	Goal		oz/a	
5			pt/a	1839.2
	Goal		oz/a	
	Goal	8	oz/a	
	non herbicide			1004.3
	(P=.05)			1906.69
	idard Deviation	1265.35		
CV				19.06
	lett's X2			7.615
P(Ba	artlett's X2)			0.179

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT) Column 17: T2 = [15]*2420

Trial Comments

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Onion injury:

1) Dacthal applied PRE or PRE fb spike did not injure onion.

2) Goal and Prowl applied overtop of transplant onions did not cause injury.

3) Goal at 8 oz/A applied to 5 leaf seeded onion caused 10 to 13% injury at 7 DAT.

Primrose control:

1) Control in transplant onions was nearly complete throughout the season.

2) The total POST Goal system provided poor control

3) Dacthal applied in the seeded system increased control compared to Goal alone. The more Dacthal in the system the tendency for better control.

4) Better control of primrose with PRE treatments is necessary to reduce the need for high rates of Goal POST for seeded onions to be adopted.

Chickweed control:

1) Excellent control in transplant onions and seeded onions that contained Dacthal PRE.

2) Goal POST systems provided poor control.

Henbit control:

1) Henbit control was excellent in all systems.

Onion Yields (Harvested the Grannex 33 PRR): 1) Seeded onion stands were variable and less than transplants. Proper seeding rates and methods for seeding are still being researched in GA. 2) Herbicide systems did not really impact plants harvested per given area.

3) Far greater yields were noted with transplants as seeded onions did not size properly. Thus, comparing yields from various weed infestation levels was not feasible.

4) Yields from systems including Dacthal were greater than the total POST system in seeded onion.

5) An economic analysis did not appear relevant as the transplant onions whipped the seeded onions.