University of Georgia

Comparison of DCPA formulations.

Trial ID: Onion2-03 Study Dir.:

Location: VORF Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

GENERAL TRIAL INFORMATION

Study Director: Stanley Culpepper Title: Ext. Weed Science

Affiliation: University of Georgia

Postal Code: 31794

Affiliation: University of Georgia

Postal Code: 31794

TRIAL LOCATION

City:VidaliaTrial Status:completedState/Prov.:GATrial Reliability:goodPostal Code:Initiation Date:Oct-21-02

Country: USA

Conducted Under GLP (Y/N): N Conducted Under GEP (Y/N): N

CROP AND WEED DESCRIPTION

| Weed | Code | Common Name | Scientific Name |
|------|-------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | OEOLA | cutleaf eveningprimrose | |
| 2. | LAMAM | henbit | |
| 3. | COPSS | swinecress | |

Crop 1: ALLCE ONION Variety: Grannex 33 PRR

Planting Date: Oct-21-02 Planting Method: conventional

Rate: 1 3" **Depth:** 0.25 in

Row Spacing: 12 inch Seed Bed: bedded
Soil Temperature: 79 F Soil Moisture: irrigated Emergence Date: Oct-31-02

SITE AND DESIGN

Plot Width, Unit: 12 FT Plot Length, Unit: 25 FT Reps: 4

Site Type: research station

Tillage Type: conventional Study Design: RANDOMIZED COMPLETE BLOCK

SOIL DESCRIPTION

% Sand: 86 **% OM:** 0.47 **Texture:** loamy sand

% Silt: 10 pH: 5.8

% Clay: 4

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

| APPL | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | A | | | | | |
| Application Date: | Oct-21-02 | | | | | |
| Time of Day: | 2 PM | | | | | |
| Application Method: | broadcast | | | | | |
| Application Timing: | PRE | | | | | |
| Applic. Placement: | surface | | | | | |
| Air Temp., Unit: | 80 F | | | | | |
| % Relative Humidity: | 47 | | | | | |
| Wind Velocity, Unit: | 1 mph | | | | | |
| Dew Presence (Y/N): | n | | | | | |
| Soil Temp., Unit: | 79 F | | | | | |
| Soil Moisture: | moist | | | | | |
| % Cloud Cover: | 90 | | | | | |

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

| | A |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Crop 1 Code, Stage: | ALLCE PRE |
| Stage Scale: | • |
| Height, Unit: | 0 |

University of Georgia

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

| | A |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Weed 1 Code, Stage: | OEOLA PRE |
| Stage Scale: | |
| Density, Unit: | 12 ydsq |
| Weed 2 Code, Stage: | LAMAM PRE |
| Stage Scale: | • |
| Density, Unit: | 2 ydsq |
| Weed 3 Code, Stage: | COPSS PRE |
| Stage Scale: | |
| Density, Unit: | 3 ydsg |

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

| | A | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Appl. Equipment: | backpack | | | |
| Operating Pressure: | 23 | | | |
| Nozzle Type: | flat fan | | | |
| Nozzle Size: | 11002 | | | |
| Nozzle Spacing, Unit: | 18 inch | | | |
| Nozzles/Row: | 2 | | | |
| Boom Length, Unit: | 4.5 feet | | | |
| Boom Height, Unit: | 15 inch | | | |
| Ground Speed, Unit: | 3 mph | | | |
| Carrier: | water | | | |

University of Georgia

Comparison of DCPA formulations.

Trial ID: Onion2-03 Study Dir.:

Location: VORF Investigator: Stanley Culpepper

| Investigator. Stanley curpepper | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Wee | d Code | | | | | | OEOLA | OEOLA | OEOLA | LAMAM | COPSS |
| Crop Code | | | ALLCE | ALLCE | ALLCE | | | | | | |
| Rating Data Type | | | injury | injury | injury | control | control | control | control | control | |
| Rating Unit | | | percent | |
| Rating Date | | | Nov-13-02 | Jan-14-03 | Feb-15-03 | Nov-13-02 | Jan-14-03 | Feb-15-03 | Nov-13-02 | Nov-13-02 | |
| Trt-Eval Interval | | | 23 DA-A | 85 DA-A | 117 DA-A | 23 DA-A | 85 DA-A | 117 DA-A | 23 DA-A | 23 DA-A | |
| Trt | Treatment | | Rate | | | | | | | | |
| No. | Name | Rate | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | Dacthal | | lb ai/a | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.8 | 40.0 | 32.5 | 90.8 | 60.0 |
| | Prowl | 1.8 | pt/a | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Dacthal | | lb ai/a | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.0 | 43.8 | 37.5 | 94.3 | 61.8 |
| | Prowl | | pt/a | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Dacthal | | lb ai/a | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67.3 | 50.0 | 47.0 | 98.0 | 77.0 |
| | Prowl | | pt/a | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Dacthal | | lb ai/a | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 67.8 | 50.0 | 46.0 | 98.0 | 76.5 |
| | Prowl | | pt/a | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Dacthal | | lb ai/a | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 58.5 | 57.5 | 99.0 | 75.5 |
| | Prowl | | pt/a | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Dacthal | | lb ai/a | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 59.5 | 61.0 | 99.0 | 80.3 |
| | Prowl | 1.8 | pt/a | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | No Dacthal | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Prowl | 1.8 | pt/a | | | | | | | | |
| LSD (P=.05) | | | | 5.48 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 7.44 | 8.77 | 12.81 | 7.15 | 8.39 |
| Standard Deviation | | | 3.69 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 5.01 | 5.90 | 8.62 | 4.81 | 5.64 | |
| CV | | | | 206.45 | 529.15 | 0.0 | 8.76 | 13.69 | 21.44 | 5.81 | 9.17 |
| | lett's X2 | | | 1.845 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.459 | 12.509 | 9.953 | 10.422 | 8.272 |
| P(Bartlett's X2) | | | 0.605 | | | 0.189 | 0.028* | 0.077 | 0.015* | 0.142 | |

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Trial Comments

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate DCPA formulations in onions:

Onion response:

1) No differences in DCPA formulations was noted. Onion injury was non-existent.

Primrose response:

- 1) Initial control by Dacthal wasbrief.
- 2) Control by Dacthal was unacceptable, regardless of rate or formulation.2) No differences in formulations were noted.

Henbit control:

- 1) Control 23 d after application was excellent, regardless of rate.
- 2) Later ratings could not be made due to poor control of primrose.

Swinecress control:

- 1) Control was similar among Dacthal formulations.
- 2) Dacthal at 4.5 and 6 LB ai/A was more effective than 3 lbs active.
- 3) Late-season ratings couldnot be made due to poor primrose control.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- 1) (Nov-13-02): Prowl (1.8 pt/A) applied over the entire trial. Too much primrose had already emerged to have a chance to manage.
- 2) Fall rainfall was intense and may have reduced primrose control by Dacthal.