University of Georgia

	Grass res	sponse to Di	rex, Linex	, or Cap	arol mixtu	ires.
Trial ID: C54-03		Stu	dy Dir.: C	ulpepper		
Location: Ponder farm Investigator: Stanley Culpepper						
	GENER	RAL TRIAL IN	FORMATION			
Study Director:	Stanley Culpepp	ber		Title:	Ext. Weed	d Science
Affiliation:	University of (Georgia				
Postal Code:	31794					
Investigator:	Stanley Culpepp	ber		Title:	Ext. Weed	d Science
	University of (Georgia				
Postal Code:	31794					
		TRIAL LOCA	TION			
City: Ty1	'y		Trial	Status:		completed
State/Prov.: GA	-		Trial	Reliabil	ity:	excellent
Postal Code: 317	94		Initia	tion Dat	e:	Aug-18-03
Country: USA	L					
Conducted Under	GLP (Y/N): N	Co	nducted Un	der GEP	(Y/N): N	
	CROP	AND WEED DE	SCRIPTION			
		Scientific				

Weed	Code	Common Name	Scientific Name
1.	PANTE	Texas panicum	
2.	CASOB	Sicklepod	
3.	DEDTO	Florida beggarweed	

Crop 1: .

Variety: .

	SITE AND DESIGN
Plot Width, Unit: 6 FT	Plot Length, Unit: 20 FT Reps: 3
Site Type: research station	
Tillage Type: none	Study Design: RANDOMIZED COMPLETE BLOCK
	SOIL DESCRIPTION
% Sand: 94 % OM: 1.3	Texture: sand
% Silt: 2 pH: 5.6	Soil Name: Tifton sandy loam
0	

% Clay: 4

Overall Moisture Conditions: wet

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

	A		
Application Date:	Aug-19-03		
Time of Day:	10 am		
Application Method:	broadcast		
Application Timing:	6"grass		
Applic. Placement:	overtop		
Air Temp., Unit:	86 F		
<pre>% Relative Humidity:</pre>	75		
Wind Velocity, Unit:	2.5 mph		
Dew Presence (Y/N):	n		
Soil Temp., Unit:	84 F		
Soil Moisture:	moist		
<pre>% Cloud Cover:</pre>	5		

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	01
	A
	•
Stage Scale:	•

University of Georgia

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

	A
Weed 1 Code, Stage:	PANTE POST
Stage Scale:	4-6 inch
Density, Unit:	6 ydsq
Weed 2 Code, Stage:	CASOB POST
Stage Scale:	3-4 inch
Density, Unit:	4 ydsq
Weed 3 Code, Stage:	DEDTO POST
Stage Scale:	3-12 inch
Density, Unit:	3 ydsq

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

	A		
Appl. Equipment:	backpack		
Operating Pressure:	22		
Nozzle Type:	flat fan		
Nozzle Size:	11002		
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	18 inch		
Boom Length, Unit:	4.5 feet		
Boom Height, Unit:	15 inch		
Ground Speed, Unit:	3 mph		
Carrier:	water		
Spray Volume, Unit:	14.8 GPA		
Propellant:	CO2		
Tank Mix (Y/N):	Y		

University of Georgia

Grass response to Direx, Linex, or Caparol mixtures.									
eres response to riter, binon, or suparor minoures.									
Trial ID: C54-03 Study Dir.: Culpepper									
Loca	Location: Ponder farm Investigator: Stanley Culpepper								
Wee	ed Code			PANTE	CASOB	CASOB	DEDTO	DEDTO	
Rati	ng Data Type			control	control	control	control	control	control
Rati	ng Unit			percent	percent	percent	percent	percent	percent
Rati	ng Date			Sep-06-03	Aug-26-03	Sep-06-03	Aug-26-03	Sep-06-03	Aug-26-03
Trt-E	Eval Interval			18 DA-A	7 DA-A	18 DA-A	7 DA-A	18 DA-A	7 DA-A
Trt	Treatment		Rate						
No.	Name	Rate		1	2	3	4	5	6
	Touchdown		oz/a	92.0	78.3	50.0	26.7	73.3	29.7
2	Touchdown		oz/a	94.0	87.7	79.7	56.7	87.0	61.3
3	Caparol MSMA		qt/a Ib ai/a	98.3	98.7	99.0	98.7	99.0	98.7
4	Direx		gt/a	93.7	93.7	99.0	98.7	99.0	98.7
	MSMA		lb ai/a	00.1	00.7	00.0	00.7	00.0	00.1
5	Linex		qt/a	93.3	96.0	99.0	98.7	99.0	98.7
	MSMA		lb ai/a						
6	Caparol		qt/a	92.7	92.3	96.3	94.3	99.0	96.0
	MŚMA		Ib ai/a						
	Touchdown	8	oz/a						
7	Direx	1	qt/a	95.3	97.0	99.0	98.7	99.0	98.7
	MSMA	2	ib ai/a						
	Touchdown	8	oz/a						
8	Linex	1	qt/a	99.0	94.7	99.0	98.3	99.0	98.3
	MSMA	_	lb ai/a						
	Touchdown		oz/a						
9	Caparol		qt/a	99.0	98.7	97.3	95.7	99.0	98.7
	MSMA		lb ai/a						
	Touchdown		oz/a						
10	Direx		qt/a	98.7	98.0	99.0	98.7	99.0	98.7
	MSMA		lb ai/a						
	Touchdown		oz/a						
11	Linex		qt/a	94.0	94.7	98.3	97.7	99.0	97.7
	MSMA		lb ai∕a						
10	Touchdown	12	oz/a						
	Non-treated			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(P=.05)	n		8.44	7.31	9.12	6.80	1.68	7.65
					4.32	5.38	4.01	0.99	4.52
CV	lett's X2			5.7 12.599	5.03 22.383	6.36 13.176	5.0 38.058	1.13 0.49	5.56 48.739
				0.126	22.383 0.013*	0.01*	38.058 0.001*	0.49	48.739 0.001*
F(D	artlett's X2)			0.120	0.013	0.01	0.001	0.404	0.001

Means followed by same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, Duncan's New MRT)

Trial Comments

OBJECTIVE: Compare layby herbicide options for the control of Texas panicum and evaluate the potential to manage large grass with conventional layby chemistry if we added low rates of glyphosate in as a tank mix partner?

WEED RESPONSE:

Texas panicum:

1) Excellent control was noted by all treatments at 17 d after treatment. However, several treatments did have plants beginning to sucker back out. No late emergence was noted.

2) By 37 d after treatment, Touchdown at 8 and 12 oz/A had plants with regrowth. All other treatments provided excellent control.

Sicklepod and beggarweed (remember 2,4-DB had previously been applied):

1) 8 and 12 oz/A of Touchdown provided at most unacceptable control. All other treatments provided excellent control.

CONCLUSIONS:

1) Conventional chemistry controlled panicum more effectively than usual, thus, there is no way to know if the addition of glyphosate with the conventional treatments would be of benefit.

GENERAL COMMENTS: Land was disked in mid july. 2,4-DB at 1 pt/a was applied overtop of trial first week of August to stunt or eliminate broadleaf weeds as focus of the trial was on grass.